arXiv:1411.6227v2 [math.DS] 6 May 2016

ASYMPTOTIC POINCARÉ MAPS ALONG THE EDGES OF POLYTOPES

HASSAN NAJAFI ALISHAH, PEDRO DUARTE, AND TELMO PEIXE

Abstract. For a general class of flows on polytopes, which include many examples from Evolutionary Game Theory, we describe a method to encapsulate the asymptotic dynamics of the flow along the heteroclinic network formed by the polytope's edges. Using this technique we give sufficient conditions for the existence of normally hyperbolic stable and unstable manifolds associated to heteroclinic cycles along the polytope's edges. These results are illustrated in a polymatrix replicator model.

1. Introduction

In this work we study analytic flows on polytopes, i.e., flows that leave invariant all faces of the polytope. Fixing one such dynamical system we call *flowing-edge* to any edge of the polytope that consists of a single orbit flowing between the two endpoint singularities. The purpose of this paper is to present a new method to analyze the asymptotic dynamics of the flow along the heteroclinic network formed by the flowing edges and the vertexes of the polytope.

Natural examples of such dynamical systems arise in Evolutionary Game Theory (EGT), which was the background motivation for the present work. We mention a few: Lotka-Volterra (LV) systems were independently introduced by V. Volterra [24] and A. Lotka [15] to model the evolution of biological and chemical ecosystems. The phase space of a LV system is the non-compact polyhedron $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \geq 0 \quad \forall\, i = 1, \ldots, n\}$, where a point in $\mathbb{R}^n$ represents a state of the ecosystem. J. Maynard Smith and G. R. Price [16] pioneered the application of (strategic) Game Theory to the study of animal behavior. In this context, P. Taylor and L. Jonker [23] introduced the replicator equation, which is now central to EGT. This equation models the frequency evolution of certain strategical behaviors within a biological population. The phase space of the replicator is the simplex $\Delta^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 + \ldots + x_n = 1, \quad x_i \geq 0 \quad \forall\, i = 1, \ldots, n\}$, clearly a compact polytope, where a state in Δ^{n-1} represents a frequency vector

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 92D15,37D10,37C29.

Key words and phrases. Evolutionary Game Theory, Normal hyperbolicity, Permanence, Heteroclinic network, Poincaré maps.

of strategical behaviors. The replicator equation says that the logarithmic growth of the usage frequency of each behavioral strategy is directly proportional to how well that strategy fares within the population. There are other types of replicator-like evolutionary systems, of which we single out the bimatrix replicator equations (see [20, 21]). In bimatrix games, also called asymmetric games, the population is divided in two groups, e.g. males and females, and interactions involve always individuals of different groups. The phase space of an asymmetric game is the product of simplexes $\Delta^{n-1} \times \Delta^{m-1}$, another compact polytope. Each state in this case is a pair of frequency vectors, representing respectively the two groups' strategic behavioral frequencies.

Generalizing these models, we have studied in [1, 2] the polymatrix replicator equation, where the population is divided into a finite number of groups, each of them with finitely many behavioral strategies. Bilateral interactions between individuals of any two groups (including the same) are allowed, but competition takes place inside the groups, i.e., the relative success of each strategy is evaluated within the corresponding group. The phase spaces of these systems are prisms, products of simplexes $\Delta^{n_1-1} \times \ldots \Delta^{n_p-1}$, where p is the number of groups and n_j the number of behavioral strategies inside the j-th group, for $j = 1, \ldots, p$. When interactions involve only individuals of different groups, the polymatrix replicator equation specializes more general replicator equations for n-person games with multi-linear payoffs that were first formulated by Palm [17] and studied by Ritzberger, Weibull [19], Plank [18] among others.

All these replicator-like systems induce flows on compact polytopes in the scope of applicability of the present work. In this paper we study the dynamics of a flow φ_X^t on a d-dimensional polytope Γ^d leaving invariant all the polytope's faces and determined by an analytic vector field X on Γ^d. We describe a new method to analyze the asymptotic dynamics of φ_X^t along its heteroclinic network.

The use of cross-sections, and return maps, to analyze dynamics along heteroclinic cycles is an old tool going back to Poincaré. In the context of EGT there is already an extensive literature on the study of boundary heteroclinic cycles. See for instance [3, 5, 6, 12–14]. The dynamics along heteroclinic networks has also been widely studied in the context of flows with symmetries (see [9, Chapter 6]). However general EGT models, as the ones mentioned above, are not covered by this theory.

The method presented here was first announced (without proofs) by the second author in [7]. Besides giving the proofs (not published anywhere else) the method is greatly improved with new results such as Theorem 8.8. The asymptotic behavior of the vector field X is completely determined by local data extracted from X at its vertex singularities and referred as the *skeleton character* of X. From this

data we construct a piecewise constant vector field χ called the *skeleton* of X. The vector field χ lives in a geometric space $\mathcal{C}^*(\Gamma^d)$ referred as the *dual cone* of polytope Γ^d. In some sense the orbits of χ encode the asymptotic behavior of the flow φ_X^t along the heteroclinic network. We use Poincaré return maps to explain this asymptotic behavior. We call *structural set* any set S of flowing edges such that every cycle of the heteroclinic network of X contains at least one edge in S. Given a structural set S, let $\Sigma \subset \Gamma^d$ denote a union of cross-sections to X, one at each flowing-edge in S. The flow φ_X^t induces a Poincaré return map P_S to Σ, referred as the *S-Poincaré map* of X. Similarly, at the level of the dual cone, the flow of χ induces another return map on the union Π_S of the corresponding cross-sections in $\mathcal{C}^*(\Gamma^d)$, that we refer as the S-Poincaré map of χ. This map, denoted by π_S, is a piecewise linear map that can be computed from the vector field's skeleton character. Theorem 7.9 says that π_S governs the asymptotic behavior of P_S along the flowing-edges in the sense that after a rescaling change of coordinates, Ψ_ϵ, depending on a blow-up parameter ϵ, the following C^∞ limit holds

$$\pi_S = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \Psi_\epsilon \circ P_S \circ (\Psi_\epsilon)^{-1} \, . \tag{1.1}$$

Because the skeleton Poincaré map π_S is computable, we can run algorithms to find the π_S-invariant linear algebra structures. If these structures are *robust*, i.e., invariant under small non-linear perturbations, they will persist as invariant geometric structures for P_S, and hence for the flow φ_X^t. This *stability principle* can be used to prove the existence of chaotic dynamics by detecting transversal homoclinic orbits for the map π_S (see [7]). Here we use this principle to prove Theorem 8.8 on the existence of normally hyperbolic stable and unstable manifolds for heteroclinic cycles satisfying some appropriate assumptions.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we define polytopes and all their associated notations, terminology and concepts. In section 3 we introduce the class of vector fields on polytopes (to which our theory applies), the skeleton character of a vector field and other related concepts. In this section we also introduce an example that will be used throughout the paper to illustrate our results. In section 4, we introduce the family of rescaling coordinates Ψ_ϵ in (1.1). In section 5 we define the dual cone of a polytope, the stage where we analyze the asymptotic dynamics along the heteroclinic network. In section 6 we introduce the class of skeleton vector fields (piecewise constant vector fields) on the dual cone, whose dynamics encapsulate the asymptotic behavior of the given flow. We also introduce the concept of structural set of a skeleton vector field. In section 7 we define the Poincaré return maps of a vector field X on Γ^d, stating and proving Theorem 7.9 mentioned above. In section 8 we state and prove Theorem 8.8 which gives sufficient conditions for the existence of normally hyperbolic stable and

unstable manifolds of heteroclinic cycles. Finally we use our results to derive a full description of the dynamics of the example introduced in section 3.

2. Polytopes

In this section we provide preliminary definitions and notations.

The *affine support* of a convex subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is the affine subspace spanned by K. The *dimension* of K is the dimension of its affine support.

Definition 2.1. A simple d-dimensional polytope is a compact convex subset $\Gamma^d \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of dimension d and affine support $E^d \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ for which there exist a family of affine functions $\{f_i : E^d \to \mathbb{R}\}_{i\in I}$, that we will refer as a defining family of Γ^d, such that

(a) $\Gamma^d = \cap_{i\in I} f_i^{-1}([0, +\infty[)$.
(b) $\Gamma^d \cap f_i^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset \qquad \forall i \in I$.
(c) Given $J \subseteq I$ such that $\Gamma^d \cap (\cap_{j\in J} f_j^{-1}(0)) \neq \emptyset$, the linear 1-forms $(df_j)_p$ are linearly independent at every point $p \in \cap_{j\in J} f_j^{-1}(0)$.

Remark 2.2. *Usually a* polyhedron *is any convex set bounded by finitely many hyperplanes, while a* polytope *is a compact polyhedron. See for instance* [25]. *We note that in* [7] *the term 'polyhedron' means polytope.*

Given $J \subseteq I$ such that $\rho_J := \Gamma^d \cap (\cap_{j\in J} f_j^{-1}(0)) \neq \emptyset$ the subset ρ_J is a simple $(d - |J|)$-dimensional polytope. These polytopes are called the *faces* of Γ^d. We denote by $K^r(\Gamma^d)$ the set of all r-dimensional faces of Γ^d. Specifically, we define

- the set of *vertexes* $V := K^0(\Gamma^d)$, and denote its elements by letters like v, v', v_i, etc.
- the set of *edges* $E := K^1(\Gamma^d)$, and denote its elements by letters like γ, γ', γ_i, etc.
- the set of *facets* $F := K^{d-1}(\Gamma^d)$, and denote its elements by letters like σ, σ', σ_i, etc.
- the set of *corners* $C := \{\, (v, \gamma, \sigma) \in V \times E \times F : \ \gamma \cap \sigma = \{v\} \,\}$.

Every function f_i defines a facet $\sigma_i := \Gamma^d \cap f_i^{-1}(0)$. Thus, from now on we assume that the defining family of Γ^d is indexed in F, instead of I, so that $\sigma = \Gamma^d \cap f_\sigma^{-1}(0)$ for all $\sigma \in F$.

Notice that any pair of the elements in a corner determines uniquely the third one. Therefore, we will sometimes refer to the corner (v, γ, σ) shortly as (v, γ) or (v, σ). An edge γ with endpoints v_1, v_2 determines two corners (v_1, γ, σ_1) and (v_2, γ, σ_2), referred as *end corners of* γ. The facets σ_1, σ_2 will be referred as *opposite facets of* γ.

Given a vertex v, we denote by F_v and E_v the set of all facets, respectively edges, which contain v.

Since Γ^d is a simple polytope, both sets F_v and E_v have d elements. Condition (c) of Definition 2.1 ensures that for every $v \in V$ the covectors $\{(df_\sigma)_v : \sigma \in F_v\}$ are linearly independent. This implies that, inside a neighborhood U_v of the vertex v, the functions $\{f_\sigma : \sigma \in F_v\}$ can be used to define a coordinate system for Γ^d.

Given a vertex v, we define $\psi_v : U_v \to \mathbb{R}^{F_v} \equiv \mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$q \mapsto (\psi_v^\sigma(q))_{\sigma \in F_v} := (f_\sigma(q))_{\sigma \in F_v}.$$

It is clear that the restriction of ψ_v to $\tilde{N}_v := U_v \cap \Gamma^d$ is a local coordinate system for Γ^d sending the vertex v to the origin and every facet σ to the hyperplane $\{x_\sigma = 0\}$. This restriction, still denoted by ψ_v, is called the *local v-coordinate system* of Γ^d. Shrinking the neighborhoods $\tilde{N}_v$ we can make them disjoint. Furthermore, we will assume that for every vertex v we have $[0,1]^d \subset \psi_v(\tilde{N}_v)$, which can be achieved by multiplying each defining function of Γ^d by a suitable positive factor.

Definition 2.3. Setting $N_v := \psi_v^{-1}([0,1]^d)$, the pairwise disjoint local coordinate systems $\{(N_v, \psi_v) : v \in V\}$ will be referred as the *vertex coordinates* of Γ^d.

Together these local coordinate systems give us a global affine embedding of the polytope Γ^d in the Euclidean space

$$\mathbb{R}^F := \{x = (x_\sigma)_{\sigma \in F} \colon x_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

In fact, the function $\Psi : E^d \to \mathbb{R}^F$, $\Psi(x) := (f_\sigma(x))_{\sigma \in F}$, is affine, and and it is one-to-one because of item (c) in Definition 2.1. Hence, setting $\tilde{E}^d := \Psi(E^d)$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}^d := \Psi(\Gamma^d)$ we have

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^d = \mathbb{R}^F_+ \cap \tilde{E}^d.$$

The embedding Ψ is an isomorphism between the polytopes Γ^d and $\tilde{\Gamma}^d$. We will refer to $\tilde{\Gamma}^d$ as the *canonical representation* of Γ^d. The defining family of $\tilde{\Gamma}^d$ is formed out of the coordinate projections $\tilde{f}_\sigma(x) = x_\sigma$.

The examples below are canonical representations.

Example 2.4. *The $(n-1)$-simplex is the polytope*

$$\Delta^{n-1} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1, x_j \geq 0 \ \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \right\}.$$

This polytope has n facets denoted by $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n$, where σ_i is the set of points in Δ^{n-1} where $x_i = 0$. It has also n vertexes $v_1 = e_1$, $v_2 = e_2$, ..., $v_n = e_n$, where $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is the canonical basis in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Given a tuple of integers $\underline{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_p)$ with $n_1, \ldots, n_p \in \mathbb{N}$, partition the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, where $n = n_1 + \ldots + n_p$, into p intervals

$$I_\alpha(\underline{n}) := [n_1 + \ldots + n_{\alpha-1} + 1, n_1 + \ldots + n_\alpha],$$

where $\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, p$. By convention $n_0 = 0$, i.e., $I_1(\underline{n}) := [1, n_1]$. We say that j belongs to α, and write $j \in \alpha$, if and only if $j \in I_\alpha(\underline{n})$.

Example 2.5. *The prism of type $\underline{n}$ is the polytope*

$$\Gamma_{\underline{n}} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon \sum_{j\in\alpha} x_j = 1 \ \forall \alpha \in \{1,\dots,p\},\ x_j \geq 0 \ \forall j \in \{1,\dots,n\} \right\}$$

The prism $\Gamma_{\underline{n}}$ is a simple $(n-p)$-dimensional polytope isomorphic to the cartesian product of simplexes, $\Gamma_{\underline{n}} \cong \Delta^{n_1-1} \times \ldots \times \Delta^{n_p-1}$. It has n facets $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n$, where $\sigma_i := \Gamma_{\underline{n}} \cap \{x_i = 0\}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The prism has $\prod_{j=1}^{p} n_j$ vertexes labeled in the set

$$J(\underline{n}) := I_1(\underline{n}) \times \ldots \times I_p(\underline{n}),$$

where each label $(j_1, \ldots, j_p) \in J(\underline{n})$ determines the vertex

$$v_{j_1,\ldots,j_p} := e_{j_1} + \ldots + e_{j_p}.$$

Since $\Delta^1 \cong [0,1]$, the prism of type $(2,2,2)$ can be identified with the cube $[0,1]^3$, i.e., $\Gamma_{(2,2,2)} \cong [0,1]^3$. This polytope $\Gamma_{(2,2,2)}$ will stage the polymatrix replicator dynamics introduced later to illustrate our main results. For future reference, the following tables encode the labels of this cube's vertexes and edges.

$v_1 = (1,3,5)$	$v_2 = (1,3,6)$	$v_3 = (1,4,5)$	$v_4 = (1,4,6)$
$v_5 = (2,3,5)$	$v_6 = (2,3,6)$	$v_7 = (2,4,5)$	$v_8 = (2,4,6)$

TABLE 1. Vertex labels of $\Gamma_{(2,2,2)}$.

$\gamma_1 = (v_1, v_2)$	$\gamma_2 = (v_3, v_4)$	$\gamma_3 = (v_5, v_6)$	$\gamma_4 = (v_7, v_8)$
$\gamma_5 = (v_1, v_3)$	$\gamma_6 = (v_2, v_4)$	$\gamma_7 = (v_5, v_7)$	$\gamma_8 = (v_6, v_8)$
$\gamma_9 = (v_1, v_5)$	$\gamma_{10} = (v_2, v_6)$	$\gamma_{11} = (v_3, v_7)$	$\gamma_{12} = (v_4, v_8)$

TABLE 2. Edge labels of $\Gamma_{(2,2,2)}$.

The edge graph of $\Gamma_{(2,2,2)}$ is represented in Figure 1.

3. Vector Fields on Polytopes

Let Γ^d denote a simple d-dimensional polytope. We denote by $\mathcal{C}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ the space of functions defined on Γ^d which can be analytically extended to a neighborhood of Γ^d. We will denote by $\mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ the space of vector fields X on Γ^d which have an analytic extension to a neighborhood of Γ^d and such that X is tangent to every r-dimensional face of Γ^d, for all $0 \leq r < d$. If $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$, then for every facet $\sigma \in F$, $df_\sigma(X) = 0$ along $\sigma = \{q \in \Gamma^d \colon f_\sigma(q) = 0\}$. This implies that either $df_\sigma(X)$ is identically zero or else there exist a positive integer $\nu = \nu(X,\sigma)$, and a non-identically zero function $H_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ such that

$$df_\sigma(X) = (f_\sigma)^{\nu(X,\sigma)} H_\sigma. \tag{3.1}$$



FIGURE 1. The edge graph of the prism $\Gamma_{(2,2,2)}$.

We say, in this case, that X *has tangency contact of order* ν with σ. When $df_\sigma(X) \equiv 0$ we say that X has tangency contact of order $\nu = \infty$. We also refer to $\nu(X, \sigma)$ as the order of X at the facet σ, and to the map $\nu : \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d) \times F \to \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, \infty\}$ as *order function* of X.

We have assumed analyticity for the sake of simplicity, also because the EGT models we have in mind are analytic (and even algebraic) vector fields. The results obtained in this work extend easily to smooth flows and vector fields. The main difference is that for a smooth vector field X the concept of order must first be defined locally.[1]

Given a vertex v, denote by $T_v\Gamma^d$ the linear space of tangent vectors to Γ^d at v. For every corner (v, γ, σ), there is a unique vector $e_{(v,\sigma)}$ parallel to γ and such that $(df_\sigma)_v(e_{(v,\sigma)}) = 1$. Hence $\{\mathrm{e}_{(v,\sigma)}\}_{\sigma \in F_v}$ is the dual basis in $T_v\Gamma^d$ of the 1-form basis $\{(df_\sigma)_v\}_{\sigma \in F_v}$ in $(T_v\Gamma^d)^*$. For any $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ the vectors $e_{(v,\sigma)}$ are eigenvectors of the derivative $(DX)_v$. If $\nu(X, \sigma) = 1$ then $H_\sigma(v)$ is the eigenvalue associated to $e_{(v,\sigma)}$. In the case $\nu = \nu(X, \sigma) \geq 2$, the eigenvalue associated to $e_{(v,\sigma)}$ is zero and

$$H_\sigma(v) = \frac{1}{\nu!}(df_\sigma)_v(D^\nu X)_v(\underbrace{e_{(v,\sigma)}, \ldots, e_{(v,\sigma)}}_{\nu \text{ times}}) \, .$$

Note that the $(\nu - 1)$-jet of the vector field X vanishes at v, and hence the derivative $(D^\nu X)_v$ has an intrinsic (coordinate independent) meaning.

[1] For a smooth vector field X, the order $\nu(X, v, \sigma)$ at a corner (v, σ) is the minimum integer $k \geq 1$ such that $(df_\sigma)_v(D^k X)_v \neq 0$. The order of σ is defined as

$$\nu(X, \sigma) := \min\{\nu(X, v, \sigma) : \sigma \in F_v \} \, .$$

Definition 3.1. The *skeleton character* of $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ is defined to be the family of vectors $\chi := (\chi^v_\sigma)_{(v,\sigma)\in V\times F}$ where

$$\chi^v_\sigma := \begin{cases} -H_\sigma(v) & \sigma \in F_v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

By convention $\chi^v_\sigma = 0$ when $\nu(X,\sigma) = \infty$. The *skeleton character* at $v \in V$, is the family of vectors $\chi^v := (\chi^v_\sigma)_{\sigma\in F}$.

We finish this section with several classes of analytic vector fields on polytopes, for which we compute their skeleton characters.

3.1. **Replicator equation.** Given a payoff matrix $A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R})$ the system of differential equations

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = x_i \left((Ax)_i - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \, (Ax)_k \right), \ 1 \le i \le n \tag{3.2}$$

is called the *replicator equation*. The associated vector field X_A is called the *replicator vector field* of A. We have $X_A \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Delta^{n-1})$, where Δ^{n-1} is the $(n-1)$-dimensional simplex introduced in Example 2.4. The vector field X_A induces a complete flow on Δ^{n-1}. Consider a population whose individuals interact according to the set of pure strategies $\{1,\dots,n\}$. A point $x = (x_1,\dots,x_n) \in \Delta^{n-1}$ represents a state of the population where x_i measures the frequency of usage of strategy i within the population. Assuming that each entry a_{ij} of A represents the payoff of strategy i against j, this model governs the time evolution of the frequency distribution of each pure strategy. The equation says that the growth rate of each frequency x_i is the difference between its payoff $(Ax)_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j$ and the population average payoff $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \, (Ax)_k$.

The next proposition characterizes the skeleton character of X_A.

Proposition 3.2. *Given $A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R})$, for every facet σ_i of Δ^{n-1},*

1. *$\nu(X_A,\sigma_i) = 1$ iff $a_{ij} \neq a_{jj}$ for some j or else $(a_{kj}-a_{jj})_{k,j\neq i}$ is not skew-symmetric. In this case $\chi^{v_j}_{\sigma_i} = a_{jj} - a_{ij}$ for all j.*
2. *$\nu(X_A,\sigma_i) = 2$ iff $a_{ij} = a_{jj}$ for all j and $(a_{kj}-a_{jj})_{k,j\neq i}$ is skew-symmetric, but $(a_{kj}-a_{jj})_{k,j}$ is not skew-symmetric. In this case $\chi^{v_j}_{\sigma_i} = a_{ji} - a_{ii}$ for all j.*
3. *$\nu(X_A,\sigma_i) = \infty$ iff $a_{ij} = a_{jj}$ for all j and $(a_{kj}-a_{jj})_{k,j}$ is skew-symmetric. In this case $\chi^{v_j}_{\sigma_i} = 0$ for all j.*

Proof. Consider the conditions

(C1) $a_{ij} \neq a_{jj}$ for some j or else $(a_{kj}-a_{jj})_{k,j\neq i}$ is not skew-symmetric.
(C2) $a_{ij} = a_{jj}$ for all j and $(a_{kj}-a_{jj})_{k,j\neq i}$ is skew-symmetric, but $(a_{kj}-a_{jj})_{k,j}$ is not skew-symmetric.
(C3) $a_{ij} = a_{jj}$ for all j and $(a_{kj}-a_{jj})_{k,j}$ is skew-symmetric.

It is clear that (C1), (C2) and (C3) are exhaustive and mutually exclusive conditions. Hence it is enough to prove that (C1) $\Rightarrow \nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = 1$, (C2) $\Rightarrow \nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = 2$ and (C3) $\Rightarrow \nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = \infty$.

Let $H_i : \Delta^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function

$$H_i(x) := (Ax)_i - \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \, (Ax)_k.$$

A simple computation shows that

$$H_i(v_j) = a_{ij} - a_{jj}$$

where the v_j are the vertexes of Δ^{n-1}. Thus, if for some j, $a_{ij} \neq a_{jj}$ then $\nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = 1$ and $\chi_{\sigma_i}^{v_j} = a_{jj} - a_{ij}$ for all j. Assume now that (C1) holds and let $\tilde{A} = (a_{kj} - a_{jj})_{k,j}$. Then $H_i(x) = (\tilde{A}x)_i - \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \, (\tilde{A}x)_k$. If $a_{ij} = a_{jj}$ for all j then $(\tilde{A}x)_i = 0$ for all $x \in \Delta^{n-1}$. Also, if $a_{ij} = a_{jj}$ for all j then the matrix $(\tilde{a}_{kj})_{k,j\neq i}$ is not skew-symmetric. This implies that the closed cone C_i defined by the conditions $x_i = 0$ and $x^T \tilde{A} x = 0$ has zero Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Therefore $C_i \cap \sigma_i$ has zero Lebesgue measure in the facet σ_i, which implies that $H_i(x) = -x^T \tilde{A} x$ is not identically zero on σ_i. Hence $\nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = 1$ and $\chi_{\sigma_i}^{v_j} = -H_i(v_j) = a_{jj} - a_{ij} = 0$ for all j.

Assuming (C2) holds we have $(\tilde{A}x)_i = 0$ and

$$H_i(x) = -x^T \tilde{A} x = -x_i \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_{ji} - a_{ii}) \, x_j.$$

Because $(a_{kj} - a_{jj})_{k,j}$ is not skew-symmetric we have $a_{ji} \neq a_{ii}$ for some j. Thus $\nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = 2$ and $\chi_{\sigma_i}^{v_j} = a_{ji} - a_{ii}$ in this case.

Finally, if (C3) holds then $H_i \equiv 0$, which implies $\nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = \infty$. □

3.2. **Polymatrix replicator equation.** Polymatrix replicator equation models the evolution of a population stratified in p groups where the individuals of each group $\alpha \in \{1, .., p\}$ have n_α strategies at their disposal to interact with members of other groups, including their own. In this model the success of each strategy is always measured against the prosperity of other strategies in the same group. We will use Greek letters like α and β to denote the groups, the term n_α will represent the number of strategies in the group α, while $n = n_1 + \ldots + n_p$ denotes the total number of strategies. The vector $\underline{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_p)$ will be referred to as the *type* of the polymatrix replicator equation. Individual strategies are represented by integers j ranging from 1 to n. We say that the strategy j belongs to a group α, and write $j \in \alpha$, if and only if $n_1 + \ldots + n_{\alpha-1} < j \leq n_1 + \ldots + n_\alpha$.

More formally, we call *polymatrix game* to a pair $G = (\underline{n}, A)$ where $A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a payoff matrix and $\underline{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_p)$ is a tuple of natural numbers with $n = n_1 + \ldots + n_p$. As usual the entry a_{ij} stands for

the payoff of strategy i against j. The *state* of the game (or population) is described by a point in the *prism* $\Gamma_{\underline{n}}$ introduced in Example 2.5.

With this notation the polymatrix replicator is the following ODE on the prism $\Gamma_{\underline{n}}$.

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = x_i \left((Ax)_i - \sum_{k\in\alpha} x_k \, (Ax)_k \right), \; i \in \alpha, \; 1 \leq \alpha \leq p \tag{3.3}$$

This equation says that the growth rate of the frequency x_i of a strategy $i \in \alpha$ is the difference between its payoff $(Ax)_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j$ and the average payoff of all strategies in the same group α. We say that (3.3) is the replicator equation of the game $G = (\underline{n}, A)$. The flow of this equation leaves the prism $\Gamma_{\underline{n}}$ invariant. By compactness this flow is complete. The underlying vector field on $\Gamma_{\underline{n}}$ will be denoted by $X_{\underline{n},A}$. The previous considerations show that $X_{\underline{n},A} \in \mathfrak{X}^{\omega}(\Gamma_{\underline{n}})$.

If $p = 1$ then $\Gamma_{\underline{n}} = \Delta^{n-1}$ and (3.3) is the usual replicator equation with payoff matrix A. When $p = 2$ and $A^{11} = A^{22} = 0$ we have $\Gamma_{\underline{n}} = \Delta^{n_1-1} \times \Delta^{n_2-1}$ and (3.3) becomes the familiar bimatrix replicator equation with payoff matrices A^{12} and A^{21}.

The next proposition characterizes the skeleton character of $X_{\underline{n},A}$.

Consider the Taylor development $A_0 + A_1 \, x_i + A_2 \, x_i^2$ in x_i of the function

$$H_i(x) := (Ax)_i - \sum_{k\in\alpha} x_k \, (Ax)_k$$

in the right hand side of (3.3). Each coefficient A_j is a polynomial in the remaining variables x_l with $l \neq i$. Let $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ denote the group that contains i. The first coefficient is

$$A_0 := \sum_{l\neq i} a_{il} \, x_l - \sum_{k\in\alpha\setminus\{i\}} \sum_{l\neq i} a_{kl} \, x_k \, x_l \, , \tag{3.4}$$

the second coefficient is

$$A_1 := a_{ii} - \sum_{l\neq i} a_{il} \, x_l - \sum_{k\in\alpha\setminus\{i\}} a_{ki} \, x_k \, , \tag{3.5}$$

and the third coefficient is $A_2 := -a_{ii}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Given a polymatrix game $(\underline{n}, A)$ with $A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R})$, for every facet σ_i of $\Gamma_{\underline{n}}$,*

(1) $\nu(X_{\underline{n},A}, \sigma_i) = 1$ iff the function A_0 in (3.4) does not vanish identically on $\Gamma_{\underline{n}}$. In this case for all $(j_1, \ldots, j_p) \in J(\underline{n})$, if $v_{j_1,\ldots,j_p} \in \sigma_i$ and $j_k \neq i$ is the only strategy in the set $\{j_1, \ldots, j_p\}$ which belongs to the same group as i then

$$\chi_{\sigma_i}^{v_{j_1,\ldots,j_p}} = \sum_{l=1}^{p} (a_{j_k j_l} - a_{i j_l}).$$

(2) $\nu(X_{\underline{n},A},\sigma_i) = 2$ *iff* $A_0 \equiv 0$ *but* A_1 *in* (3.5) *does not vanish identically on* $\Gamma_{\underline{n}}$. *In this case for all* $(j_1,\ldots,j_p) \in J(\underline{n})$, *if* $v_{j_1,\ldots,j_p} \in \sigma_i$ *and* $j_k \neq i$ *is the only strategy in the set* $\{j_1,\ldots,j_p\}$ *which belongs to the same group as* i *then*

$$\chi_{\sigma_i}^{v_{j_1,\ldots,j_p}} = a_{j_k i} - a_{ii} + \sum_{l=1}^{p} a_{ij_l}.$$

(3) $\nu(X_{\underline{n},A},\sigma_i) = 3$ *iff* $a_{ii} \neq 0$ *but* $A_0 \equiv A_1 \equiv 0$ *on* $\Gamma_{\underline{n}}$. *In this case for all* $(j_1,\ldots,j_p) \in J(\underline{n})$, *if* $v_{j_1,\ldots,j_p} \in \sigma_i$ *then*

$$\chi_{\sigma_i}^{v_{j_1,\ldots,j_p}} = a_{ii}.$$

(4) $\nu(X_{\underline{n},A},\sigma_i) = \infty$ *iff* $a_{ii} = 0$ *and* $A_0 \equiv A_1 \equiv 0$ *on* $\Gamma_{\underline{n}}$. *In this case for all* $(j_1,\ldots,j_p) \in J(\underline{n})$, *if* $v_{j_1,\ldots,j_p} \in \sigma_i$ *then*

$$\chi_{\sigma_i}^{v_{j_1,\ldots,j_p}} = 0.$$

Proof. Follows from definition of the order function ν in (3.1) and Definition 3.1 of the skeleton character. □

The following example of a polymatrix game, and its associated polymatrix replicator, will be used throughout the rest of the paper to illustrate our results.

Example 3.4. *Let* $G = ((2,2,2),A)$ *be the polymatrix game where*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -102 & 0 & 79 & 0 & 18 \\ 102 & 0 & 0 & -79 & -18 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 & -18 \\ -51 & 51 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 102 & -79 & 0 & -18 & -9 \\ -102 & -51 & 158 & 0 & 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We denote by X_G *the vector field of this polymatrix game on the polytope*

$$\Gamma_{(2,2,2)} = \Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \equiv [0,1]^3.$$

The components of the skeleton vector field χ *of* X_G *are displayed in Table 3. We use the vertex labeling from Table 1.*

3.3. **Generalized polymatrix replicator.** For any analytic payoff function $f = (f_1,\ldots,f_n)\colon \Gamma_{\underline{n}} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ we call *generalized polymatrix replicator equation* the following system of ODEs.

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = x_i \left(f_i(x) - \sum_{k\in\alpha} x_k\, f_k(x) \right),\ i \in \alpha,\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq p \tag{3.6}$$

This equation determines a vector field $X_f \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma_{\underline{n}})$. Conversely, any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma_{\underline{n}})$ can be written in the form $X = X_f$ for some analytic function $f\colon \Gamma_{\underline{n}} \to \mathbb{R}^n$. In fact, given $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma_{\underline{n}})$ the invariance property implies that $X(x) = (x_1\, f_1(x),\ldots,x_n\, f_n(x))$

χ^v_σ	σ_1	σ_2	σ_3	σ_4	σ_5	σ_6
v_1	0	-84	0	60	0	-162
v_2	0	-93	0	33	144	0
v_3	0	74	-60	0	0	75
v_4	0	65	-33	0	-93	0
v_5	84	0	0	-42	0	-111
v_6	93	0	0	-69	93	0
v_7	-74	0	42	0	0	126
v_8	-65	0	69	0	-144	0

TABLE 3. The skeleton character of X_G.

for some analytic function $f\colon \Gamma_{\underline{n}} \to \mathbb{R}^n$. On the other hand, since $X(x) \in T_x\Gamma_{\underline{n}}$ we must have $\sum_{k\in\alpha} x_k\, f_k(x) = 0$ for all $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$ and $x \in \Gamma_{\underline{n}}$. Hence the vector field X has the form (3.6) with $X = X_f$. This shows that the dynamics of any vector field in $\mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma_{\underline{n}})$ can be given an EGT interpretation analogous to that of polymatrix replicators.

3.4. **Lotka-Volterra equation.** Lotka-Volterra (LV) systems form another class of EGT models under the scope of application of the present work. A compactification procedure, introduced by J. Hofbauer [11], shows that every LV system in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ is orbit equivalent to a replicator system on the n-dimensional simplex Δ^n. We briefly recall this compactification. Given an interaction matrix $A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and a natural growth rate vector $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the associated LV equation on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ is

$$\frac{dz_i}{dt} = z_i\,(\,r_i + (Az)_i\,)\,,\ 1 \le i \le n\,. \tag{3.7}$$

For each $j = 1, \dots, n+1$, let $\sigma_j := \{\, x \in \Delta^n :\ x_j = 0\,\}$ and consider the diffeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathbb{R}^n_+ &\to \Delta^n \backslash \sigma_{n+1} \\ (z_1, \dots, z_n) &\mapsto \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i}(z_1, \dots, z_n, 1). \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation shows that the push-forward of the vector field (3.7) is equal to $\frac{1}{x_{n+1}} X_{\tilde{A}}$, where $X_{\tilde{A}}$ is the replicator vector field with payoff matrix

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} & r_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} & r_n \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\,.$$

Since the flows of $\frac{1}{x_{n+1}} X_{\tilde{A}}$ and $X_{\tilde{A}}$ are orbit equivalent, we refer to $X_{\tilde{A}}$ as the compactification of the LV equation (3.7).

The order function and the skeleton character of the replicator vector field $X_{\tilde{A}}$ can be explicitly computed from Proposition 3.2.

Among LV systems the class of Hamiltonian LV systems is specially interesting. If A is skew-symmetric the LV system (3.7) is Hamiltonian w.r.t. some Poisson structure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ (see [8]). In this case, if $r \neq 0$ then the facet σ_{n+1} has order $\nu(X_{\tilde{A}}, \sigma_{n+1}) = 2$ and character $\chi^{v_j}_{\sigma_{n+1}} = r_j$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Assuming no other column or row of A vanishes then every other facet σ_i has order $\nu(X_{\tilde{A}}, \sigma_i) = 1$ and character $\chi^{v_j}_{\sigma_i} = -a_{ij}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

In [7] such an example of a Hamiltonian LV system in $\mathbb{R}^4$ (obtained coupling together two predator-prey systems) is studied. The method described there leads to chaotic dynamics on every large enough energy level of the Hamiltonian function.

4. Rescaling Coordinates

In this section we introduce a family of rescaling coordinates $\Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon}$ around each vertex $v \in V$ depending on a small rescaling parameter $\varepsilon > 0$. As $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ the push-forward $(\Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon})_* X$ of $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ on the neighborhood N_v tends to a constant vector field whose components are those of the skeleton character of X.

Definition 4.1. Given $v \in V$ we define the sector at v

$$\Pi_v := \{\, (u_\sigma)_{\sigma \in F} \in \mathbb{R}^F_+ :\ u_\sigma = 0 \quad \forall \sigma \notin F_v \,\}\,.$$

For every $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, let $h_n : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function

$$h_1(x) = -\log x \quad \text{and} \quad h_n(x) = -\frac{1}{n-1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{x^{n-1}}\right) \quad n \geq 2. \tag{4.1}$$

Remark 4.2. *This family is characterized by the properties:* $h_n'(x) = -x^{-n}$, $h_n(0) = +\infty$ *and* $h_n(1) = 0$, *which imply that the function* $h_n :]0, 1] \to [0, +\infty[$ *is a diffeomorphism.*

Definition 4.3. Given a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ a vertex v and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define the rescaling v-coordinate $\Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon} : N_v \backslash \partial\Gamma^d \to \Pi_v$ by

$$q \mapsto y := \begin{cases} \varepsilon^2 h_{\nu(X,\sigma)}(\psi^\sigma_v(q)) & \text{if} \quad \sigma \in F_v \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad \sigma \notin F_v \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

where $\psi_v : N_v \to [0, 1]^d$ is the v-coordinate system in Definition 2.3.

Note that there are natural identifications $\Pi_v \equiv \mathbb{R}^{F_d}_+ \equiv \mathbb{R}^d_+$. The following is a technical lemma that will be used to control the asymptotic behavior of the rescaling through $\Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon}$.

Lemma 4.4. *For any* $n \geq 1$, *the inverse of the diffeomorphism* h_n *is*

$$(h_1)^{-1}(y) = e^{-y} \quad \textit{and} \quad (h_n)^{-1}(y) = (1 + (n-1)y)^{-\frac{1}{n-1}} \quad n \geq 2.$$

Moreover, for any $k \geq 1$ there exists $r = r(k,n) > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \max_{0 \leq i \leq k} \sup_{y \geq \varepsilon^r} \left| \frac{d^i}{dy^i} h_n^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \right| = 0$$

Proof. The computation of the inverse functions h_n^{-1} is straightforward.

For $n = 1$ we can take $r = 1$ regardless of k. In this case, the k-th derivative of e^{-y/ε^2} is bounded, over $y \geq \varepsilon$, by $\varepsilon^{-2k}\, e^{-1/\varepsilon}$, which tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$.

For $n > 1$ the k-th derivative of $h_n^{-1}(y/\varepsilon^2)$ is bounded, over $y \geq \varepsilon^r$, by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(n-1)^k}{\varepsilon^{2k}} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(-\frac{1}{n-1} - j\right) \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon^r}{\varepsilon^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{n-1}-k} &\asymp \varepsilon^{(2-r)(\frac{1}{n-1}+k)-2k} \\ &= \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{n-1} - r(\frac{1}{n-1}+k)} \end{aligned}$$

which tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, provided we choose

$$0 < r < \frac{\frac{2}{n-1}}{\frac{1}{n-1} + k} = \frac{2}{1 + k(n-1)}.$$

□

Remark 4.5. *We have $0 < r < 1$ for $n \geq 2$, and $r = 1$ when $n = 1$.*

To shorten statements about convergence in the forthcoming lemmas and theorems we introduce some terminology.

Definition 4.6. Suppose we are given a family of functions, or mappings, F_ϵ with varying domains $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$. Let F be another function with domain $\mathcal{U}$. Assume that all these functions have the same target and source spaces, which are assumed to be linear spaces. We will say that $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} F_\epsilon = F$ *in the C^k topology*, to mean that:

(1) *domain convergence*: for every compact subset $K \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, we have $K \subseteq \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ for every small enough $\epsilon > 0$, and
(2) *uniform convergence on compact sets*:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \max_{0 \leq i \leq k} \sup_{u \in K} \left| D^i \left[F_\epsilon(u) - F(u) \right] \right| = 0 .$$

Convergence in the C^∞ topology means convergence in the C^k topology for all $k \geq 1$. If in a statement F_ϵ is a composition of two or more mappings then its domain should be understood as the composition domain.

For a given vertex $v \in V$ we define

$$\Pi_v(\varepsilon) := \{\, y \in \Pi_v : \; y_\sigma \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all} \quad \sigma \in F_v \,\} \tag{4.3}$$

Lemma 4.7. *Consider the functions H_σ defined in* (3.1)*. Then*

$$(\Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon})_* X = \varepsilon^2 \tilde{X}^\varepsilon_v,$$

where $\tilde{X}_v^\varepsilon := \left(-H_\sigma\left((\Psi_{v,\varepsilon}^X)^{-1}(y)\right)\right)_{\sigma\in F_v}$.

Furthermore, given $k \geq 1$ *there exists* $r = r(k, X) > 0$ *such that the following limit holds in the* C^k *topology*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} (\tilde{X}_v^\varepsilon)_{|_{\Pi_v(\varepsilon^r)}} = \chi^v \ .$$

Proof. Notice that the vector field X in the v-coordinate $x := \psi_v(q)$ reads as

$$\frac{dx_\sigma}{dt} = x_\sigma^{\nu(X,\sigma)} H_\sigma(\psi_v^{-1}(x)) \ .$$

See equation (3.1). The Jacobian of $\Psi_{v,\varepsilon}^X$ is the diagonal matrix

$$D(\Psi_{v,\varepsilon}^X)_x = -\varepsilon^2 \mathrm{diag}(x_\sigma^{-\nu(X,\sigma)})_{\sigma\in F_v}.$$

So, the first claim is trivial. Furthermore , given $y \in \Pi_v(\varepsilon)$,

$$H_\sigma\left((\Psi_{v,\varepsilon}^X)^{-1}(y)\right) = H_\sigma\left(\psi_v^{-1}(h^{-1}(\frac{y}{\varepsilon^2}))\right),$$

where $h^{-1}(\frac{y}{\varepsilon^2}) := \left(h_{\nu(X,\sigma)}^{-1}(\frac{y_\sigma}{\varepsilon^2})\right)_{\sigma\in F_v}$. Thus, by Lemma 4.4 combined with Definitions 2.3 and 3.1, the convergence follows. □

5. Dual Cone of a Polytope

In this section we introduce the concept of dual cone of a polytope.

Let Γ^d be a simple polytope with a defining family of affine functions $\{f_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in F}$.

Definition 5.1. The *dual cone* of Γ^d is defined to be

$$\mathcal{C}^*(\Gamma^d) := \bigcup_{v\in V} \Pi_v \ ,$$

where for every vertex $v \in V$

$$\Pi_v := \{\, (x_\sigma)_{\sigma\in F} \in \mathbb{R}_+^F : \ x_\sigma = 0 \text{ if } \sigma \notin F_v \,\} \ .$$

Remark 5.2. *Given a polytope* $\Gamma^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ *with non-empty interior assume* $0 \in \mathrm{int}(\Gamma^d)$. *The polar, or dual, of* Γ^d *is the polytope*

$$(\Gamma^d)^* := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, y\rangle \leq 1, \ \forall x \in \Gamma^d\}.$$

The homogenization cone of Γ^d *is defined by*

$$C[\Gamma^d] := \{(tx, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : x \in \Gamma^d, t \geq 0\}.$$

The dual cone $\mathcal{C}^*(\Gamma^d)$ *is isomorphic to the boundary of* $C[(\Gamma^d)^*]$ *. The concepts of polar and homogenization cone of a polytope can be found in* [25]. *Figure 2 represents the dual cone of the* 2*-simplex* Δ^2. *The dark triangle* $[v_1v_2v_3]$ *stands for* Δ^2. *The middle dot is its barycenter. The outer triangle, with vertexes on the axes, is the polar* $(\Delta^2)^*$ *of* Δ^2 *on the plane containing it, taking as plane's origin the mentioned barycenter. Finally, the outer squares represent the homogenization cone of* $(\Delta^2)^*$, *i.e., the dual cone of the polytope* Δ^2.



FIGURE 2. The polytope $\Gamma^d = \Delta^2$ and its dual cone.

Dual cones of polytopes have a simplicial structure.

Definition 5.3. Given $0 \leq r \leq d$, for every $\rho \in K^{d-r}(\Gamma)$ the set

$$\Pi_\rho := \{\, (x_\sigma)_{\sigma \in F} \in \mathbb{R}_+^F : \; x_\sigma = 0 \text{ if } \rho \not\subseteq \sigma \,\} \,,$$

is called a r-dimensional face of $\mathcal{C}_r^*(\Gamma^d)$, and the union

$$\mathcal{C}_r^*(\Gamma^d) := \bigcup \{\, \Pi_\rho : \; \rho \in K^{d-r}(\Gamma^d) \,\}$$

will be referred as *the r-dimensional skeleton* of the dual cone.

To justify the used 'duality' terminology notice that

Remark 5.4. *Given faces ρ, ρ_1 and ρ_2 of Γ^d,*

(1) If ρ has dimension $d - r$ then Π_ρ is a r-dimensional convex cone.

(2) If the face ρ is the convex hull of $\rho_1 \cup \rho_2$ then

$$\Pi_\rho = \Pi_{\rho_1} \cap \Pi_{\rho_2} \,.$$

(3) In particular, if γ is an edge with endpoints v_1 and v_2 then

$$\Pi_\gamma = \Pi_{v_1} \cap \Pi_{v_2} \,.$$

For every $\sigma \in F$ set

$$N_\sigma := \{\, q \in \Gamma^d : \; f_\sigma(q) \leq 1 \,\} \,. \tag{5.1}$$

More generally for every face $\rho \in K^{d-r}(\Gamma^d)$ define

$$N_\rho := \bigcap_{\sigma \in F,\, \sigma \supset \rho} N_\sigma \,,$$

which is a sort of tubular neighborhood of ρ in Γ^d. Multiplying the functions f_σ, if needed, by some large constants, we can assume that

$$N_\rho \cap N_{\rho'} = \emptyset \quad \text{whenever} \quad \rho \cap \rho' = \emptyset \,,$$

for all faces $\rho \in K^r(\Gamma^d)$ and $\rho' \in K^{r'}(\Gamma^d)$.

Definition 5.5. The *skeleton coordinate system* on Γ^d is the map

$$\psi : \Gamma^d \to W, \quad \psi(q) := (\psi_\sigma(q))_{\sigma\in F} \,, \quad \psi_\sigma(q) := \min\{1, f_\sigma(q)\} \,,$$

where $W := \{\, x \in \mathbb{R}^F : \; 0 \le x_\sigma \le 1 \;\text{ for all }\; \sigma \in F \,\}$.

Note that $\psi(q) = \mathbb{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$ when $q \notin \cup_{\sigma\in F} N_\sigma$. Notice also that the local coordinate (N_v, ψ_v) (see Definition 2.3) is simply the composition of the restriction of ψ to N_v with the orthogonal projection $\mathbb{R}^F \to \Pi_v \simeq \mathbb{R}^d$.

Next we introduce a family of rescaling change of coordinates from the polytope Γ^d to its dual cone $\mathcal{C}^*(\Gamma^d)$. This family of maps depends on the vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ through its order function.

Definition 5.6. Given a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, *the ε-rescaling Γ^d-coordinate for X* is the mapping $\Psi^X_\varepsilon : \Gamma^d \backslash \partial\Gamma^d \to \mathcal{C}^*(\Gamma^d)$

$$q \mapsto \Psi^X_\varepsilon(q) := \left(\varepsilon^2 h_{\nu(X,\sigma))}(\psi_\sigma(q))\right)_{\sigma\in F} \,,$$

where ψ is the skeleton coordinate system above, and h_n are the functions defined in (4.1).

Note that for every vertex v the restriction of Ψ^X_ε to $N_v \backslash \partial\Gamma^d$ is one-to-one and onto Π_v.

Remark 5.7. *The rescaling v-coordinate $\Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon}$ in Definition 4.3 is simply the composition of the restriction of Ψ^X_ε to N_v with the orthogonal projection $\mathbb{R}^F \to \Pi_v \simeq \mathbb{R}^d$.*

6. Skeleton Vector Fields

In this section we define and characterize skeleton vector fields on the dual cone. Every vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ yields a skeleton vector field on the dual cone of Γ^d. We will study the skeleton vector fields associated to vector fields on polytopes.

We call *skeleton vector field* on $\mathcal{C}^*(\Gamma^d)$ to a family $\chi = (\chi^v)_{v\in V}$ of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^F$ such that χ^v is tangent to Π_v for all $v \in F$. Alternatively, a skeleton vector field χ is a family $\chi = (\chi^v_\sigma)_{(v,\sigma)\in V\times F}$ such that $\chi^v_\sigma = 0$ for $\sigma \notin F_v$.

Given $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$, the skeleton character of X, $\chi = (\chi^v_\sigma)_{(v,\sigma)\in V\times F}$, (see Definition 3.1) is a skeleton vector field that we will refer as the *skeleton vector field* of X.

Remark 6.1. *Given $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$, consider the restriction of Ψ^X_ε (see Definition 5.6) to the set $U := \cup_{v\in V} N_v$. By Remark 5.7 and Lemma 4.7*

the skeleton vector field of X is the limit, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, of the rescaled vector fields $\tilde{X}_v^\varepsilon$ on the facet's interiors $\mathrm{int}(\Pi_v)$*, with $v \in V$.*

Our aim is to study the piecewise linear flows generated by skeleton vector fields on $\mathcal{C}^*(\Gamma^d)$. In the following definitions, the vertexes of Γ^d are classified with respect to χ.

Definition 6.2. Given a skeleton vector field χ, a vertex $v \in V$ is called:

(i) χ-*repelling* if $-\chi^v \in \Pi_v$,
(ii) χ-*attractive* if $\chi^v \in \Pi_v$,
(iii) of *saddle type* otherwise.

(See Figure 3 and Remark 6.3.)



FIGURE 3. Vertex types: (i) repelling, (ii) attractive and (iii) saddle

Remark 6.3. *Assume χ is the skeleton vector field of $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$. A vertex $v \in V$ is χ-repelling, resp. χ-attractive, iff v is a repelling, resp. attractive, singularity of X. Note that the rescaling v-coordinate system in Definition 4.3 reverses orientation component-wisely.*

The edges of Γ^d are also classified with respect to χ.

Definition 6.4. Let $\gamma \in E$ be an edge with end corners (v, σ) and (v', σ'). The edge γ is called χ-*defined* if either $\chi_\sigma^v \chi_{\sigma'}^{v'} \neq 0$ or else $\chi_\sigma^v = \chi_{\sigma'}^{v'} = 0$. Moreover, if γ is χ-defined we say that it is

(1) a *flowing-edge* if $\chi_\sigma^v \chi_{\sigma'}^{v'} < 0$,
(2) a *neutral edge* if $\chi_\sigma^v = \chi_{\sigma'}^{v'} = 0$,
(3) an *attracting edge* if $\chi_\sigma^v < 0$ and $\chi_{\sigma'}^{v'} < 0$,
(4) a *repelling edge* if $\chi_\sigma^v > 0$ and $\chi_{\sigma'}^{v'} > 0$,

For flowing-edges we write $v \xrightarrow{\gamma} v'$ whenever $\chi_\sigma^v < 0$ and $\chi_{\sigma'}^{v'} > 0$. The vertexes v and v' are called, respectively, the *source* of γ, denoted by $s(\gamma)$, and the *target* of γ, denoted by $t(\gamma)$.

Remark 6.5. *Assuming χ is the skeleton vector field of some vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$, Figure 4 shows the relation between the dynamics of X along γ and the dynamics of χ around Π_γ.*



FIGURE 4. Different types of edges: (1) flowing, (2) neutral, (3) attracting and (4) repelling.

Definition 6.6. The skeleton χ is said to be *regular* if it has no χ-undefined edges.

Definition 6.7. We denote by G_χ the directed graph $G_\chi = (V, E_\chi)$ where V is the vertex set of Γ^d, and E_χ is the set of all flowing-edges.

The graph of the skeleton vector field χ associated to the Example 3.4 is represented in Figure 5.

From now on, we will only consider regular skeleton vector fields.

Definition 6.8. We call *orbit* of χ to any continuous piecewise affine function $c : I \to \mathcal{C}^*(\Gamma^d)$, defined on some interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that

(1) $\dot{c}(t) = \chi^v$ whenever $c(t)$ is interior to some sector Π_v, with $v \in V$,
(2) the set $\{\, t \in I : \, c(t) \in \mathcal{C}^*_{d-1}(\Gamma^d) \,\}$ is finite or countable.



FIGURE 5. The oriented graph of χ.

Remark 6.9. *Given a vertex v and two flowing-edges $v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v$ and $v \xrightarrow{\gamma'} v'$, there exists a unique facet σ' of the polytope Γ^d such that $\gamma \subseteq \sigma'$ and $\gamma' \cap \sigma' = \{v\}$, i.e., such that (v, γ', σ') is a corner of Γ^d.*

Definition 6.10. Given a vertex $v \in V$ and two flowing-edges $v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v$ and $v \xrightarrow{\gamma'} v'$, using the notation in Remark 6.9, we define the sector $\Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'} = \Pi^{\chi}_{\gamma,\gamma'}$

$$\Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'} := \left\{ x \in \mathrm{int}(\Pi_\gamma) : \; x_\sigma - \frac{\chi^v_\sigma}{\chi^v_{\sigma'}} x_{\sigma'} > 0, \quad \sigma \in F_v, \; \sigma \neq \sigma' \right\} .$$

Moreover, for each $x \in \Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'}$, we set

$$L^{\chi}_{\gamma,\gamma'}(x) = L_{\gamma,\gamma'}(x) := \left(x_\sigma - \frac{\chi^v_\sigma}{\chi^v_{\sigma'}} x_{\sigma'} \right)_{\sigma \in F} . \tag{6.1}$$

Remark 6.11. *The linear map $L_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ is represented by the following matrix*

$$M_{\gamma,\gamma'} = \left(\delta_{\sigma\sigma''} - \frac{\chi^v_\sigma}{\chi^v_{\sigma'}} \delta_{\sigma'\sigma''} \right)_{\sigma,\sigma'' \in F} ,$$

where $\delta_{\sigma\sigma''}$ is the Kronecker delta symbol. This matrix representation is more suitable for computational purposes.

Next proposition relates Definition 6.10 with the orbits of χ.

Proposition 6.12. *Given $v \in V$ and two flowing-edges $v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v$ and $v \xrightarrow{\gamma'} v'$, the sector $\Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ is the set of points $x \in \mathrm{int}(\Pi_\gamma)$ which are connected by the orbit segment $\{ c(t) = x + t\chi^v : t \geq 0, \, c(t) \in \Pi_v \}$ to the point $x' = L^{\chi}_{\gamma,\gamma'}(x)$ in $\mathrm{int}(\Pi_{\gamma'})$.*

Remark 6.13. *The linear map $L^{\chi}_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ takes $\Pi^{\chi}_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ onto $\Pi^{-\chi}_{\gamma',\gamma}$. Similarly, $L^{-\chi}_{\gamma',\gamma}$ takes $\Pi^{-\chi}_{\gamma',\gamma}$ onto $\Pi^{\chi}_{\gamma,\gamma'}$. The map $L^{\chi}_{\gamma,\gamma'}\colon \Pi^{\chi}_{\gamma,\gamma'} \to \Pi^{-\chi}_{\gamma',\gamma}$ is the inverse of $L^{-\chi}_{\gamma',\gamma}\colon \Pi^{-\chi}_{\gamma',\gamma} \to \Pi^{\chi}_{\gamma,\gamma'}$.*

Remark 6.14. *Given two corners (v,γ',σ') and (v,γ'',σ'') around the same vertex v, If v is χ-attractive or χ-repelling then it is not possible to connect any point of $\Pi_{\gamma'}$ to any point of $\Pi_{\gamma''}$ through a line parallel to the constant vector χ^v.*

Also, note that the points in the boundary of $\Pi_{\gamma'}$ are in the intersection of more than two sectors Π_v with $v \in V$. Hence, if an orbit ends up in one of these points it is not be possible to continue it in a unique way. In the sequel we disregard these types of orbits.

We will extract information about the flow of the vector field X from the behavior of the flow of the skeleton vector field χ. Instead of dealing with the flow of χ we introduce an associated Poincaré map π^{χ}.

Definition 6.15. Let $\Pi^{\chi} \subset \mathcal{C}^*_{d-1}(\Gamma^d)$ be the disjoint union

$$\Pi^{\chi} := \bigcup \{\, \Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'} :\ t(\gamma) = s(\gamma'),\ (\gamma,\gamma') \in E_{\chi} \times E_{\chi} \,\}\ .$$

The *skeleton Poincaré map* $\pi^{\chi} : \Pi^{\chi} \to \mathcal{C}^*_{d-1}(\Gamma^d)$ is given by

$$\pi^{\chi}(x) := L_{\gamma,\gamma'}(x) \quad \text{whenever } x \in \Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'}\ .$$

Remark 6.16. *It follows from Remark 6.13, that the Poincaré map $\pi^{-\chi} : \Pi^{-\chi} \to \mathcal{C}^*_{d-1}(\Gamma^d)$ of the skeleton vector field $-\chi$ is the inverse of $\pi^{\chi} : \Pi^{\chi} \to \mathcal{C}^*_{d-1}(\Gamma^d)$.*

We define *the maximal invariant sets*

$$\Lambda^+(\chi) := \bigcap_{n\geq 0} (\pi^{\chi})^{-n}(\Pi^{\chi}), \tag{6.2}$$

$$\Lambda^-(\chi) := \bigcap_{n\geq 0} (\pi^{-\chi})^{-n}(\Pi^{-\chi}), \tag{6.3}$$

$$\Lambda^0(\chi) := \Lambda^+(\chi) \cap \Lambda^-(\chi). \tag{6.4}$$

Remark 6.17. *In general these sets may be empty. In Proposition 6.27 we will give sufficient conditions for $\Lambda^0(\chi)$ to be non empty.*

Definition 6.18. A sequence of edges $\xi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)$ is called a *χ-path* if ξ is a path of the graph G_{χ}, i.e., if

(1) $\gamma_j \in E_{\chi}$, for all $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m$,
(2) $t(\gamma_{j-1}) = s(\gamma_j)$, for all $j = 1, \ldots, m$.

The χ-path ξ is called a *χ-cycle* when $\gamma_0 = \gamma_m$, i.e., when the path ξ is a cycle of G_{χ}. The integer m is called the *length* of the path.

From now on we will write $\pi = \pi^{\chi}$ whenever the skeleton vector field χ is clear from the context.

Definition 6.19. An *orbit segment* of $\pi = \pi^\chi$ is any finite sequence $\underline{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)$ where $x_j \in \Pi^\chi$ and $x_j = \pi(x_{j-1})$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. The unique χ-path $\xi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$ such that for all $j = 1, \dots, m$, $x_j \in \Pi_{\gamma_{j-1},\gamma_j}$, is called the *itinerary of* $\underline{x}$.

By definition, all edges in the itinerary of some orbit segment must be flowing-edges.

Definition 6.20. Given a χ-path $\xi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$, we define the *skeleton Poincaré map of* χ *along* ξ to be the mapping $\pi_\xi : \Pi_\xi \to \Pi_{\gamma_m}$

$$\pi_\xi := L_{\gamma_{m-1},\gamma_m} \circ \ldots \circ L_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1} \ ,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\xi &:= \left\{ x \in \mathrm{int}(\Pi_{\gamma_0}) : \ \pi^j(x) \in \mathrm{int}(\Pi_{\gamma_j}) \ \text{ for all } \ j = 1, \dots, m \right\} \\ &= \mathrm{int}(\Pi_{\gamma_0}) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{m} (L_{\gamma_{j-1},\gamma_j} \circ \ldots \circ L_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1})^{-1} \mathrm{int}(\Pi_{\gamma_j}) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.21. *Given a χ-path $\xi = (\gamma, \gamma')$ of length one, $\Pi_\xi = \Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'}$.*

Remark 6.22. *Given two χ-paths ξ, ξ', if $\xi \neq \xi'$ then $\Pi_\xi \cap \Pi_{\xi'} = \emptyset$.*

Remark 6.23. *Any two χ-paths ξ' and ξ'' where the end edge of ξ' is equal to the initial edge of ξ'' can be concatenated to form a new path ξ such that $\pi_\xi = \pi_{\xi''} \circ \pi_{\xi'}$.*

Next we introduce the concept of *structural set* and its associated skeleton Poincaré map.

Definition 6.24. A non-empty set of edges $S \subset E_\chi$ is said to be a *structural set* for χ if

(1) any χ-cycle contains an edge in S,
(2) S is minimal with respect to (1).

Notice that the structural set S is in general not unique. The concept of structural set can be defined for general directed graphs. It corresponds to the homonym notion introduced by L. Bunimovich and B. Webb [4], but applied to the line graph[2].

Definition 6.25. We say that a χ-path $\xi = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_m)$ is a *branch* of S, or shortly an S-branch, if

(1) $\gamma_0, \gamma_m \in S$,
(2) $\gamma_j \notin S$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m-1$.

We denote by $\mathscr{B}_S(\chi)$ the set of all S-branches of G_χ.

Definition 6.26. Let $\Pi_S := \cup_{\xi \in \mathscr{B}_S(\chi)} \Pi_\xi$. We define the S-Poincaré map to be $\pi_S : \Pi_S \to \Pi_S$ where $\pi_S(u) := \pi_\xi(u)$ for all $u \in \Pi_\xi$.

[2]The line graph of a directed graph G, denoted by $L(G)$, is the graph whose vertices are the edges of G, and where $(\gamma, \gamma') \in E \times E$ is an edge of $L(G)$ if the end-point of γ coincides with the start-point of γ'.

We now give sufficient conditions which ensure the dynamics of the S-Poincaré map is non-trivial.

Proposition 6.27. *Given $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ with skeleton vector field χ and a structural set $S \subset E_\chi$, assume*

(1) χ is regular,
(2) χ has no attracting or repelling edges,
(3) all vertexes are of saddle type.

Then $\Lambda^0(\chi) \cap \Pi_S$ has full $(d-1)$-Lebesgue measure in $\bigcup_{\gamma\in S} \Pi_\gamma$.

Proof. Throughout this proof the term *measure* will refer to the Lebesgue measure on some union of $(d-1)$-dimensional sectors.

The set $M_0 = \partial\Pi^\chi$ is a finite union of $(d-2)$-dimensional cones, the facets of $\Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ in $\partial\Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'}$, with $\gamma, \gamma' \in E_\chi$ such that $t(\gamma) = s(\gamma')$.

The assumptions (1)-(3) imply that G_χ has no endpoints. They also imply that π is well-defined almost everywhere in $\cup_{\gamma\in E_\chi}\Pi_\gamma$. More precisely they imply that $\cup_{\gamma\in E_\chi}\Pi_\gamma \setminus M_0 \subset \Pi^\chi$. Define $M_n := \cup_{j=0}^n \pi^{-j}(M_0)$. Since π is a piecewise linear isomorphism, it follows by induction in n that M_n is a finite union of $(d-2)$-dimensional cones, and hence has zero measure. Therefore, the same is true about $M^+ := \cup_{j=0}^\infty \pi^{-j}(M_0)$. Thus $\cup_{\gamma\in E_\chi}\Pi_\gamma \setminus M^+ \subset \Lambda^+(\chi)$, which proves that $\Lambda^+(\chi)$ has full measure in $\cup_{\gamma\in E_\chi}\Pi_\gamma$. With a similar argument for $-\chi$ we conclude that $\Lambda^-(\chi)$ and $\Lambda^0(\chi)$ have also full measure in $\cup_{\gamma\in E_\chi}\Pi_\gamma$.

Thus, $\Lambda^0(\chi)\cap\Pi_S = \Lambda^0(\chi)\cap\cup_{\gamma\in S}\Pi_\gamma$ has full measure in $\cup_{\gamma\in S}\Pi_\gamma$. □

Remark 6.28. *The S-Poincaré map induces a homeomorphism $\pi_S\colon \Lambda_S \to \Lambda_S$ on the Baire space $\Lambda_S := \Lambda^0(\chi) \cap \Pi_S$.*

Let us return to Example 3.4. Looking at the graph in Figure 5, we can easily check that

$$S = \{\, \gamma_5 = (v_3, v_1),\ \gamma_8 = (v_6, v_8) \,\}$$

is a structural set for χ whose S-branches are displayed in table 4. Then, since all vertexes of the polytope $\Gamma_{(2,2,2)}$ are of saddle type, by Proposition 6.27 we have that $\overline{\Pi}_S = \Pi_{\gamma_5} \cup \Pi_{\gamma_8}$ where $\Pi_{\gamma_5} = \overline{\Pi}_{\xi_1} \cup \overline{\Pi}_{\xi_2} \cup \overline{\Pi}_{\xi_3}$ and $\Pi_{\gamma_8} = \overline{\Pi}_{\xi_4} \cup \overline{\Pi}_{\xi_5} \cup \overline{\Pi}_{\xi_6}$.

From\To	γ_5	γ_8
γ_5	ξ_1	$\xi_2,\ \xi_3$
γ_8	$\xi_4,\ \xi_5$	ξ_6

$\xi_1 = (\gamma_5, \gamma_9, \gamma_7, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_5)$ $\xi_2 = (\gamma_5, \gamma_1, \gamma_{10}, \gamma_8)$ $\xi_3 = (\gamma_5, \gamma_9, \gamma_3, \gamma_8)$
$\xi_4 = (\gamma_8, \gamma_4, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_5)$ $\xi_5 = (\gamma_8, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_2, \gamma_5)$ $\xi_6 = (\gamma_8, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_6, \gamma_{10}, \gamma_8)$

TABLE 4. S-branches of χ.

The S-Poincaré map $\pi_S : \Pi_S \to \Pi_S$ of χ (see Definition 6.26) is depicted in Figure 6. Because the remaining coordinates vanish, we consider the coordinates (u_2, u_6) on Π_{γ_5} and (u_1, u_5) on Π_{γ_8}. Table 5 gives the matrix representation and the corresponding defining conditions for all the branches of the S-Poincaré map π_S, regarding the fixed coordinates. In all domains Π_{ξ_j}, the inequalities $u_1 \geq 0$, $u_2 \geq 0$, $u_5 \geq 0$ and $u_6 \geq 0$ are implicit.

ξ	def. equations of Π_ξ	matrix of π_ξ
ξ_1	$49u_6 - 187u_2 \geq 0$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1343}{2626} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
ξ_2	$14u_6 - 27u_2 \leq 0$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{11}{23} & \frac{52}{207} \\ \frac{1445}{713} & -\frac{1180}{2139} \end{pmatrix}$
ξ_3	$\begin{cases} 49u_6 - 187u_2 \leq 0 \\ 14u_6 - 27u_2 \geq 0 \end{cases}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2900}{5957} & \frac{210}{851} \\ \frac{11594}{5957} & -\frac{434}{851} \end{pmatrix}$
ξ_4	$65u_5 - 144u_1 \leq 0$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{10} & \frac{11}{40} \\ \frac{357}{148} & -\frac{145}{296} \end{pmatrix}$
ξ_5	$\begin{cases} 65u_5 - 144u_1 \geq 0 \\ 715u_5 - 3723u_1 \leq 0 \end{cases}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{14717}{20150} & \frac{81}{310} \\ \frac{3723}{1612} & -\frac{55}{124} \end{pmatrix}$
ξ_6	$715u_5 - 3723u_1 \geq 0$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{21488}{22165} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

TABLE 5. Branches of π_S.

7. Asymptotic Poincaré Maps

In this section, we study the asymptotic behavior of the flow of a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ along the edges of Γ^d. The limit flow is described in terms of the skeleton Poincaré map π^χ associated with the skeleton vector field χ of X. Figure 7 illustrates this behavior in a simple example.

Definition 7.1. We say that a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ is *regular* when its skeleton vector field χ is regular (see Definition 6.6) and:

(1) X has no singularities in $\mathrm{int}(\gamma)$ for every flowing edge γ,
(2) X vanishes along every neutral edge γ.

Figure 4 also illustrates the possible edge dynamics for a regular vector field.

Throughout this section $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ will denote a regular vector field and χ its skeleton vector field.



FIGURE 6. The S-Poincaré map $\pi_S : \Pi_S \to \Pi_S$. The pictures above represent the domains Π_{ξ_j} labeled from 1 to 6. The pictures below represent the images $\pi_{\xi_j}(\Pi_{\xi_j})$ labeled from $1'$ to $6'$.



FIGURE 7. An orbit in the polytope $\Gamma^d = \Delta^2$ and the corresponding asymptotic orbit in the dual cone $\mathcal{C}^*(\Gamma^d)$.

Given corner $(v, \gamma, \sigma) \in C$, let

$$\Sigma_{v,\gamma} := (\Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon})^{-1}(\Pi_\gamma)$$

where $\Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon}$ is the restriction of the ε-rescaling Γ^d-coordinate Ψ^X_ε to N_v (see Definition 5.6 and Remark 5.7). This is a cross-section, transversal to X which intersects γ at a single point $q_{v,\gamma}$.

Given vertexes $v, v' \in V$ and a flowing-edge $v \xrightarrow{\gamma'} v'$, let $D_{\gamma'}$ be the set of points $x \in \mathrm{int}(\Sigma_{v,\gamma'})$ such that the orbit $\{\varphi_X^t(x) \colon t \geq 0\}$ has a first transversal intersection with $\Sigma_{v',\gamma'}$.

Definition 7.2. The partial Poincaré map

$$P_{\gamma'} : D_{v,\gamma'} \subset \mathrm{int}(\Sigma_{v,\gamma'}) \to \mathrm{int}(\Sigma_{v',\gamma'})$$

is defined by $P_{\gamma'}(x) := \varphi_X^{\tau(x)}(x)$ (see Figure 8), where

$$\tau(x) = \min\{\, t > 0 : \ \varphi_X^t(x) \in \Sigma_{v',\gamma'} \,\} \,.$$



FIGURE 8. The Poincaré maps $P_{\gamma'}$ and $P_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ in Definitions 7.2 and 7.4 respectively.

Given a vertex v and two flowing-edges $v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma'} v$ and $v \xrightarrow{\gamma} v'$, we can rename the facets of the polytope Γ^d in such a way that

$$\gamma : (v_0, \sigma_0) \to (v, \sigma_2),$$
$$\gamma' : (v, \sigma_1) \to (v', \sigma_{d+1}),$$

with the possibility of σ_0 and σ_{d+1} being the same facet. The pairs (v_0, σ_0), (v, σ_1), etc. stand for corners of the polytope, with σ_0 and σ_1 being opposite facets to γ, and σ_2 and σ_{d+1} opposite facets to γ'. Denoting by $\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_d$ the remaining facets incident with v, we have

$$F_{v_0} = \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_3, \ldots, \sigma_d\},$$
$$F_v = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \ldots, \sigma_d\},$$
$$F_{v'} = \{\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \ldots, \sigma_d, \sigma_{d+1}\},$$

We also write x_j instead of x_{σ_j} and set $\nu_j = \nu(X, \sigma_j)$. Thus, we have the following vertex coordinate systems $\psi_v = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d)$ and $\psi_{v'} =$

$(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{d+1})$ (see Definition 2.3). Let $(V, (t, x_2, \dots, x_d))$ be the flow box generated by the flow of X starting at a neighborhood U of $q_{v,\gamma'} \in \Sigma_{v,\gamma'}$ and ending at $P_{\gamma'}(U)$. In this flow box the vector field X reads as (see equation (3.1)):

$$\begin{cases} \dot{t} &= 1 \\ \dot{x}_j &= x_j^{\nu_j} H_j(t,x), \quad 2 \le j \le d \end{cases} \tag{7.1}$$

for some analytic functions $H_j(t,x)$. For $j = 2, \dots, d$, these equations are equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{dt} h_{\nu_j}(x_j) = -\dot{x}_j \, x_j^{-\nu_j} = -H_j(t,x)$$

and integrating yields

$$h_{\nu_j}(x_j(t)) = h_{\nu_j}(x_j(0)) - \int_0^t H_j(\varphi^s(0, x(0)))\, ds,$$

where φ^s stands for the flow of the vector field (7.1). Therefore

$$P_{\gamma'}(x) = \left\{ h_{\nu_j}^{-1} \left(h_{\nu_j}(x_j) - \int_0^{\tau(x)} H_j(\varphi^s(0,x))\, ds \right) \right\}_{2 \le k \le d} \tag{7.2}$$

where $\tau(x)$ is the time that the orbit starting at $x \in \Sigma_{v,\gamma}$ takes to hit the cross-section $\Sigma_{v',\gamma}$,

For each edge γ we introduce the following sub-domains of Π_γ

$$\Pi_\gamma(\varepsilon) := \{\, y \in \Pi_\gamma : \; y_\sigma \ge \varepsilon \quad \text{for all} \quad \sigma \in F \text{ such that } \gamma \subset \sigma \,\}. \tag{7.3}$$

Notice that they cover $\mathrm{int}(\Pi_\gamma)$ as ε tends to zero.

Fix an arbitrary large integer $k \ge 1$ and take $r = r(k, X) > 0$ according to Lemma 4.7.

Lemma 7.3. *Given a flowing-edge $v \xrightarrow{\gamma'} v'$ as in Remark 6.9, let $\mathcal{U}^\varepsilon_{\gamma'} \subset \Pi_{\gamma'}(\varepsilon^r)$ be the domain of the map $F^\varepsilon_{\gamma'} := \Psi^X_{v',\varepsilon} \circ P_{\gamma'} \circ (\Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon})^{-1}$. Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} F^\varepsilon_{\gamma'|_{\mathcal{U}^\varepsilon_{\gamma'}}} = \mathrm{id}_{\Pi_{\gamma'}}$$

in the C^k topology, in the sense of Definition 4.6.

Proof. The coordinates of the dual cone in $\Pi_v \cup \Pi_{v'}$ are $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d, y_{d+1})$, so we forget all other coordinates, which are 0 outside these sectors. Moreover, for a point in $\Pi_{\gamma'}$ we have that $y_1 = y_{d+1} = 0$.

For any point $y \in \Pi_{\gamma'}$, if we identify $y = (0, y_2, \dots, y_d, 0) = (0, y_2, \dots, y_d) = (y_2, \dots, y_d, 0)$ then

$$(\Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon})^{-1}(y) = \left(1, h_{\nu_2}^{-1}(\frac{y_2}{\varepsilon^2}), \dots, h_{\nu_d}^{-1}(\frac{y_d}{\varepsilon^2}) \right) \in \Sigma_{v,\gamma'}$$

By (7.2), ignoring the last coordinate, which is equal to 1,

$$P_{\gamma'}\left(\Psi_\varepsilon^{-1}(y)\right) = \left(h_{\nu_j}^{-1}\left[h_{\nu_j}(h_{\nu_j}^{-1}(\frac{y_j}{\varepsilon^2})) - \int_0^{\tau(\Psi_\varepsilon^{-1}(y))} H_j\, ds \right] \right)_{2\le j\le d}.$$

Hence $F^\varepsilon_{\gamma'}(0, y_2, \dots, y_d, 0) = (0, y_2'(\varepsilon), \dots, y_d'(\varepsilon), 0)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} y_j'(\varepsilon) &= \varepsilon^2 h_{\nu_j}\left(h_{\nu_j}^{-1}\left[h_{\nu_j}(h_{\nu_j}^{-1}(\frac{y_j}{\varepsilon^2})) - \int_0^{\tau(\Psi_\varepsilon^{-1}(y))} H_j\, ds \right] \right) \\ &= y_j - \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{\tau((\Psi_\varepsilon)^{-1}(y))} H_j(\varphi^s(\Psi_\varepsilon^{-1}(y)))\, ds, \end{aligned}$$

see Definition 5.6.

Notice that τ is analytic, and together with its derivatives is locally bounded in a neighborhood of $q_{v,\gamma'} \in \Sigma_{v,\gamma'}$. Moreover, every derivative $(D^k\varphi^s)_x$ is a solution of a system of linear equations with coefficients depending on $\varphi^s(x)$ and on other lower order derivatives $(D^j\varphi^s)_x$ with $j < k$. Arguing recursively, we can prove that for any $k \ge 0$ the k-th order derivatives of $H_j(s, \varphi^s(x))$ are uniformly bounded for $0 \le s \le \tau(x)$ and x in a neighborhood of $q_{v,\gamma'} \in \Sigma_{v,\gamma'}$. Hence, it follows from Lemma 4.4 that F_ε converges to the identity map in the C^k topology. □

Given vertexes $v_0, v, v' \in V$ and two flowing-edges $v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v$ and $v \xrightarrow{\gamma'} v'$, let $D_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ be the set of points $x \in \mathrm{int}(\Sigma_{v,\gamma})$ such that the orbit $\{\varphi_X^t(x) \colon t \ge 0\}$ has a first transversal intersection with $\Sigma_{v,\gamma'}$.

Definition 7.4. The partial Poincaré map

$$P_{\gamma,\gamma'} : D_{\gamma,\gamma'} \subset \mathrm{int}(\Sigma_{v,\gamma}) \to \mathrm{int}(\Sigma_{v,\gamma'})$$

is defined by $P_{\gamma,\gamma'}(x) := \varphi_X^{\tau(x)}(x)$ (see Figure 8), where

$$\tau(x) = \min\{\, t > 0 :\ \varphi_X^t(x) \in \Sigma_{v,\gamma'} \,\}.$$

Lemma 7.5. *Given $\gamma, \gamma' \in E_\chi$ as in Remark 6.9, let $\mathcal{U}^\varepsilon_{\gamma,\gamma'} \subset \Pi_\gamma(\varepsilon^r)$ be the domain of the map $F^\varepsilon_{\gamma,\gamma'} := \Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon} \circ P_{\gamma,\gamma'} \circ (\Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon})^{-1}$. Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \left(F^\varepsilon_{\gamma,\gamma'}\right)_{|\mathcal{U}^\varepsilon_{\gamma,\gamma'}} = (L_{\gamma,\gamma'})_{|\Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'}}$$

in the C^k topology, in the sense of Definition 4.6.

Proof. The coordinates of the dual cone in Π_v are $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)$, so we forget all other coordinates, which are 0 outside this sector. Denote by $\partial_\gamma\Pi_v(\varepsilon^r)$ the boundary facet of $\Pi_v(\varepsilon^r)$ parallel to Π_γ and define $\partial_{\gamma'}\Pi_v(\varepsilon^r)$ analogously. Note that every element of Π_γ (respectively, of $\Pi_{\gamma'}$) is of the form $(0, y_2, ..., y_d)$ (respectively, $(y_1, 0, y_3, \dots, y_d)$) then every element of $\partial_\gamma\Pi_v(\varepsilon^r)$ (respectively, $\partial_{\gamma'}\Pi_v(\varepsilon^r)$) is of the form $(\varepsilon^r, y_2, ..., y_d)$ (respectively, $(y_1, \varepsilon^r, y_3, \dots, y_d)$) where $0 \le y_j \le \varepsilon^r$ for $j = 2, \dots, d$ (respectively, $j = 1, 3, \dots, d$).

From Lemma 4.7 and Definition 6.10, the Poincaré map of the vector field $(\Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon})_* X = \varepsilon^2 \tilde{X}^\varepsilon_v$ from $\partial_\gamma \Pi_v(\varepsilon^r)$ to $\partial_{\gamma'} \Pi_v(\varepsilon^r)$ converges in the C^k topology to $(L_{\gamma,\gamma'})_{|\Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'}}$, provided we make the obvious identifications $\partial_\gamma \Pi_v(\varepsilon^r) \equiv \Pi_\gamma(\varepsilon^r)$ and $\partial_{\gamma'} \Pi_v(\varepsilon^r) \equiv \Pi_{\gamma'}(\varepsilon^r)$.

We are left to prove that the Poincaré maps of this vector field, respectively from $\Pi_\gamma(\varepsilon^r)$ to $\partial_\gamma \Pi_v(\varepsilon^r)$, and from $\partial_{\gamma'} \Pi_v(\varepsilon^r)$ to $\Pi_{\gamma'}(\varepsilon^r)$, converge to the identity maps in the C^k topology as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. Because the two convergences are similar we focus on the first. The argument will be similar to that of Lemma 7.3, but instead of using (7.1) we consider the flow of X in the coordinate system $\psi_v = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, i.e.,

$$\dot{x}_j = x_j^{\nu_j} \, H_j(x), \quad 1 \le j \le d. \tag{7.4}$$

Notice that $(\Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon})^{-1} \Pi_\gamma(\varepsilon^r) \subset \Sigma_{v,\gamma}$ is defined by the conditions

$$x_1 = 1 \ \text{ and } \ 0 < x_j < h_{\nu_j}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2-r}}\right), \quad \forall 2 \le j \le d$$

Likewise, $\Sigma^\varepsilon_{v,\gamma} := (\Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon})^{-1} \partial_\gamma \Pi_v(\varepsilon^r)$ is defined by $x_1 = h_{\nu_1}^{-1}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2-r}})$ and the same conditions as above on the remaining coordinates. Let $\tau^\varepsilon(x)$ denote the time that the orbit starting at $x \in \Sigma_{v,\gamma}$ takes to hit the cross-section $\Sigma^\varepsilon_{v,\gamma}$. Integrating the first component of (7.4), we have

$$h_{\nu_1}\left(h_{\nu_1}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2-r}}\right)\right) - h_{\nu_1}(1) = -\int_0^{\tau^\varepsilon(x)} H_1(\varphi^s(x)) ds, \tag{7.5}$$

i.e. $\int_0^{\tau^\varepsilon(x)} -H_1(\varphi^s(x)) ds = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2-r}}$. Since $-H_1(v) = \chi_1^v > 0$ there exists a neighborhood U_v of v where $-H_1$ takes positive values. We can take a constant $C > 0$ and shrink U_v so that $-H_1 \ge \frac{1}{C}$ and $\|D^j H_1\| \le C$ for all $1 \le j \le k$ on U_v. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\Sigma_{v,\gamma}$ is contained in U_v.

From (7.5) we have

$$\int_0^{\tau^\varepsilon(x)} -H_1(\varphi^s(x)) ds = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2-r}}\,, \tag{7.6}$$

which implies

$$\tau^\varepsilon(x) \le C \, \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2-r}}\,.$$

Differentiating both sides of (7.6) with respect to x_j, for $2 \le j \le d$, we obtain

$$H_1(\varphi^{\tau^\varepsilon(x)}(x)) \frac{\partial \tau^\varepsilon(x)}{\partial x_j} + \int_0^{\tau^\varepsilon(x)} \nabla H_1(\varphi^s(x)) \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi^s(x)}{\partial x_j} \, ds = 0. \tag{7.7}$$

Similar formulas can be driven for higher order derivatives of τ^ε.

Arguing as in Lemma 7.3, we can bound the derivatives of the flow $\varphi^s(x)$. Since the derivatives of H_1 are also bounded, we infer from (7.7), and its higher order analogues, that the function τ^ε has bounded derivatives up to order k. Finally, repeating the argument in the proof of

Lemma 7.3, we conclude that the Poincaré map from $\Pi_\gamma(\varepsilon^r)$ to $\partial_\gamma \Pi_v(\varepsilon^r)$ converges to identity in the C^k topology as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. □

Definition 7.6. Given a χ-path $\xi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$, the composition

$$P_\xi := (P_{\gamma_m} \circ P_{\gamma_{m-1},\gamma_m}) \circ \dots \circ (P_{\gamma_1} \circ P_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1})$$

is referred as the *Poincaré map* of the vector field X along ξ. The domain of this composition is denoted by D_ξ.

Recall that a *heteroclinic cycle* of a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ is a cyclic sequence of orbits such that the ω-limit of each orbit coincides with the α-limit of the next orbit. In this work we are interested in studying heteroclinic cycles on the polytope's boundary formed by flowing edges. Each χ-cycle $\xi = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{m-1}, \gamma_0)$ determines a heteroclinic cycle of the underlying vector field X, which we will denote by C_ξ.

Lemmas 7.3 and Lemma 7.5 imply that given a path ξ, the asymptotic behavior of the Poincaré map P_ξ along ξ is given by the corresponding Poincaré map π_ξ of the skeleton vector field χ. More precisely we have

Proposition 7.7. *Given a χ-path $\xi = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_m)$ with $v_0 = s(\gamma_0)$ and $v_m = s(\gamma_m)$, let $\mathcal{U}_\xi^\varepsilon$ be the domain of the map $F_\xi^\varepsilon := \Psi^X_{v_m,\varepsilon} \circ P_\xi \circ (\Psi^X_{v_0,\varepsilon})^{-1}$ from $\Pi_{\gamma_0}(\varepsilon)$ into $\Pi_{\gamma_m}(\varepsilon)$. Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \left(F_\xi^\varepsilon\right)_{|\mathcal{U}_\xi^\varepsilon} = \pi_\xi$$

in the C^∞ topology, in the sense of Definition 4.6.

Proof. Follows immediately from Lemmas 7.3 and 7.5. □

To encode the semi-global dynamics of the flow φ_X^t along the edges we will use Poincaré return maps to a system of cross-sections placed at the edges of a structural set (see Definition 6.24). Any orbit of the flow φ_X^t that shadows some heteroclinic cycle must intersect this cross-section system in a recurrent way.

Definition 7.8. Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ be a vector field with a structural set, $S \subset E$. We define the S-Poincaré map $P_S : D_S \subset \Sigma_S \to \Sigma_S$ setting $\Sigma_S := \cup_{\gamma \in S} \Sigma_\gamma$, $D_S := \cup_{\xi \in \mathscr{B}_S(\chi)} D_\xi$ and $P_S(p) := P_\xi(p)$ for all $p \in D_\xi$. Note that the domains D_ξ and $D_{\xi'}$ are disjoint for $\xi \neq \xi'$ in $\mathscr{B}_S(\chi)$.

Figure 9 represents an orbit whose α-limit is a heteroclinic cycle in Example 3.4.

By construction the suspension of the S-Poincaré map $P_S : D_S \subset \Sigma_S \to \Sigma_S$ embeds (up to a time re-parametrization) in the flow of the vector field X. In this sense the dynamics of the map P_S encapsulates the qualitative behavior of the flow φ_X^t of X along the edges of Γ^d. The following theorem is an easy corollary of Proposition 7.7.



FIGURE 9. An orbit along the heteroclinic cycle formed by the edges $\gamma_5, \gamma_9, \gamma_3, \gamma_8, \gamma_4$ and γ_{11}, through the sections Σ_{γ_5} and Σ_{γ_8}.

Theorem 7.9. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ be a regular vector field with skeleton vector field χ and a structural set $S \subset E_\chi$. Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \Psi_\varepsilon \circ P_S \circ (\Psi_\varepsilon)^{-1} = \pi_S$$

in the C^∞ topology, in the sense of Definition 4.6.

8. Invariant Manifolds

In this section we apply the previous asymptotic results to prove that under certain assumptions, given a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$, a heteroclinic cycle (consisting of flowing edges) admits normally hyperbolic invariant stable and unstable manifolds.

Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ be a regular vector field with skeleton χ, and fix a structural set S for χ (see Definition 6.24).

Given $u \in \mathbb{R}^F$ let us write

$$\overline{u} := \mathbb{1} \cdot u = \sum_{\sigma \in F} u_\sigma \ ,$$

where $\mathbb{1} \in \mathbb{R}^F_+$ stands for the vector with all coordinates equal to 1.

Let $G = G_\chi$ denote the directed graph of χ (see Definition 6.7) and $\mathscr{B}_S(\chi)$ be the set of all S-branches of G (see Definition 6.25). Given a χ-path $\xi = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)$ such that the cone Π_ξ has non-empty interior, we define the $(d-2)$-simplex

$$\Delta^\chi_\xi := \{\, u \in \operatorname{int}(\Pi_\xi) : \ \overline{u} = 1 \,\}$$

and set $\Delta^\chi_S := \cup_{\xi \in \mathscr{B}_S(G)} \Delta^\chi_\xi$. Analogously, for each edge $\gamma \in E_\chi$ we define $\Delta_\gamma := \{\, u \in \operatorname{int}(\Pi_\gamma) : \ \overline{u} = 1 \,\}$ and set $\Delta_S := \cup_{\gamma \in S} \Delta_\gamma$.

Definition 8.1. Given a χ-path $\xi = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$, the *projective Poincaré map along* ξ is the map $\hat{\pi}_\xi : \Delta_\xi^\chi \subset \Delta_{\gamma_1} \to \Delta_{\gamma_m}$ defined by

$$\hat{\pi}_\xi(u) := \pi_\xi(u)/\overline{\pi_\xi(u)}\,.$$

The *projective S-Poincaré map* is $\hat{\pi}_S : \Delta_S^\chi \subset \Delta_S \to \Delta_S$ defined by $\hat{\pi}_S(u) := \hat{\pi}_\xi(u)$ for all $u \in \Delta_\xi^\chi$.

Definition 8.2. A *periodic point* of $\hat{\pi}_S$ is any point $u \in \Delta_S^\chi$ such that $u = (\hat{\pi}_S)^n(u)$, for some $n \geq 1$. If the *period* n is minimum, denoting by ξ_j the unique S-branch such that $(\hat{\pi}_S)^j(u) \in \Delta_{\xi_j}^\chi$ for all $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, concatenating these branches we obtain a cycle ξ such that $u = \hat{\pi}_\xi(u)$. We refer to this cycle ξ as the *itinerary* of the periodic point u.

Definition 8.3. Let u be a periodic point of $\hat{\pi}_S$ whose itinerary is the cycle ξ. We say that u is an eigenvector of π_ξ if $\pi_\xi(u) = \lambda\, u$ for some number $\lambda = \lambda(u) > 0$ that will be referred as the *eigenvalue* of u. Define $\sigma_{\max}(u)$, resp. $\sigma_{\min}(u)$, to be the maximum, resp. minimum, ratio $|\lambda'|\,/\lambda$ where λ' ranges over the eigenvalues of $\pi_\xi = D(\pi_\xi)_u$ associated to eigen-directions different from u.

Proposition 8.4. *Given a periodic point u of $\hat{\pi}_S$, with itinerary ξ, the eigenvalues of $D(\hat{\pi}_S)_u$ are the ratios λ'/λ, where λ is the eigenvalue of u and λ' ranges over the other eigenvalues of $D(\pi_\xi)_u$ associated to eigen-directions different from u.*

Proof. Let $L = D(\pi_\xi)_u$ and assume $L\,u = \lambda\,u$ with $u \in \Delta_S$ and $\lambda > 0$. A simple calculation shows that

$$D(\hat{\pi}_\xi)_u\, w = \frac{1}{\lambda}\,(Lw - \overline{Lw}\,u).$$

Thus, if $L\,w = \lambda'\,w$ then $w' := w - \overline{w}\,u \in T_u\Delta_S$ and

$$D(\hat{\pi}_\xi)_u\, w' = \frac{1}{\lambda}\,(Lw - \overline{Lw}\,u) = \frac{\lambda'}{\lambda}\,w'.$$

This proves that λ'/λ is an eigenvalue of $D(\hat{\pi}_S)_u = D(\hat{\pi}_\xi)_u$. □

Next statement follows from the previous proposition.

Corollary 8.5. *Let u be a periodic point of $\hat{\pi}_S$ with itinerary ξ.*

(a) If $\sigma_{\max}(u) < 1$ then u is an attracting periodic point of $\hat{\pi}_S$,
(b) If $\sigma_{\min}(u) > 1$ then u is a repelling periodic point of $\hat{\pi}_S$.

Let M be a smooth Riemann manifold, $\varphi^t : M \to M$ a flow (discrete or continuous) of class C^s ($s \geq 1$) and $V \subseteq M$ an invariant submanifold for φ^t. Next definition applies to maps and flows.

Definition 8.6. We say that V is *s-normally hyperbolic* for φ^t if the tangent bundle of M over V, $T_V M$, has a $T\varphi^t$-invariant splitting

$$T_V M = E^u \oplus TV \oplus E^s\,,$$

and there exists $\sigma > 1$ and $c > 0$ such that for all $p \in V$, for all $0 \leq k \leq s$, and for all $t \geq 0$:

(i) $m(D\varphi^t_{|E^u_p}) > c\,\sigma^t \, \|D\varphi^t_{|T_pV}\|^k$,

(ii) $\|D\varphi^t_{|E^s_p}\| < c^{-1}\,\sigma^{-t}\, m(D\varphi^t_{|T_pV})^k$,

where $m(A) = \min_{\|v\|=1} \|Av\|$ denotes the minimum expansion of a linear map A, and $\|A\| = \max_{\|v\|=1} \|Av\|$ is the operator norm of A. In case φ^t is smooth, and s can be taken arbitrarily large, we say that V is ∞-*normally hyperbolic.*

Remark 8.7. *When $E^u = \{0\}$ we say that V is s-normally contractive. Similarly, when $E^s = \{0\}$ we say that V is s-normally repelling.*

Theorem 8.8. *Given $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ with skeleton χ, denote by φ^t_X the flow of X. Given a periodic point u_0 of $\hat{\pi}_S$ with itinerary ξ, assume u_0 has eigenvalue $\lambda_0 = \lambda(u_0) > 0$, $\lambda_0 \neq 1$, and the linear map $D(\pi_\xi)_{u_0}$ has no other eigenvalue of absolute value λ_0. Then there exists a 2-dimensional manifold $W \subset \Gamma^d$ of class C^∞ such that*

(a) W is ∞-normally hyperbolic,

(b) If $\sigma_{\max}(u_0) < 1$ then W is normally contractive,

(c) If $\sigma_{\min}(u_0) > 1$ then W is normally repelling,

(d) If $\lambda(u_0) > 1$ then W is forward invariant and for every $p \in W$

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} d(\varphi^t_X(p), C_\xi) = 0 \ .$$

(e) If $\lambda(u_0) < 1$ then W is backward invariant and for every $p \in W$

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} d(\varphi^{-t}_X(p), C_\xi) = 0 \ .$$

Proof. Let γ_0 be the first edge of the cycle ξ, and identify the hyperplane that contains Π_{γ_0} with $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Consider the $(d-1) \times (d-1)$ matrix M_ξ that represents the linear map $\pi_\xi : \Pi_\xi \subset \Pi_{\gamma_0} \to \Pi_{\gamma_0}$, whose eigenvalues we denote by $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ with $\lambda_0 = \lambda > 0$ and $|\lambda_j| \neq \lambda$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$. Consider the following system of coordinates $\Phi : \Pi_{\gamma_0} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{d-1}_+ \to \mathbb{R} \times \Delta^{d-2}$, $u \mapsto (r, \theta)$ defined by the relations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} e^r & = \overline{u} \\ \theta & = u/\overline{u} \end{array} \right. \ . \tag{8.1}$$

In these coordinates the map $f_\xi = \pi_\xi : \Pi_\xi \subset \Pi_{\gamma_0} \to \Pi_{\gamma_0}$ is given by

$$f_\xi(r, \theta) := \left(r + \log \overline{M_\xi \theta},\, \hat{\pi}_\xi(\theta) \right) \ .$$

The point u_0 is a fixed point of $\hat{\pi}_\xi$, with coordinates $r = 0$ and $\theta = u_0$. The line $V = \{ (r, \theta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Delta^{d-2} \ : \ \theta = u_0 \}$ is f_ξ-invariant. Notice that $m(D(f_\xi)|_{T_pV}) = 1 = \left\| D(f_\xi)|_{T_pV} \right\|$. Since u_0 is a hyperbolic fixed point of $\hat{\pi}_\xi$ there is a $D(\hat{\pi}_\xi)_{u_0}$-invariant decomposition $T_{u_0}\Delta^{d-2} = E^s \oplus E^u$ such that for all $p = (r, u_0) \in V$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|D(f_\xi)|_{E^s}(p)\| < 1 = m(D(f_\xi)|_{T_pV})^k \ ,$$

and similarly

$$\left\|D(f_\xi)|_{T_pV}\right\|^k = 1 < m(D(f_\xi)|_{E^u(p)}) \ .$$

Because k is arbitrary, V is s-normally hyperbolic for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider now the half-line $V_+ = \{\, (r,\theta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Delta^{d-2} \,:\, r \geq 0,\ \theta = u_0 \,\}$.

If $\lambda < 1$ then $\log \lambda = \log \overline{M_\xi\, u_0} < 0$, V_+ is backward invariant and over-flowing for the map f_ξ.

If $\lambda > 1$ then $\log \lambda = \log \overline{M_\xi\, u_0} > 0$, V_+ is π_ξ-forward invariant and over-flowing for the inverse map f_ξ^{-1}.

Because they are analogous, we only address the case $\lambda < 1$. Consider the family of mappings

$$f_{\xi,\varepsilon}(r,\theta) := \Phi \circ \Psi_\varepsilon \circ P_\xi \circ (\Psi_\varepsilon)^{-1} \circ \Phi^{-1}(r,\theta\,) \ ,$$

where Φ is the change of coordinates (8.1), $\Psi_\varepsilon := \Psi^X_{v,\varepsilon}$ and v is the source vertex of the first flowing-edge in ξ. In a neighborhood of V_+ this map converges uniformly (as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$) to $f_\xi(r,\theta) := \Phi \circ \pi_\xi \circ \Phi^{-1}(r,\theta)$. Then by [10, Theorem 4.1], ignoring for now the fact that V_+ is not compact, for every small enough $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a unique $f_{\xi,\varepsilon}$-invariant normally hyperbolic manifold V_+^ε of class C^∞ close to V_+. Setting $W_0 := (\Psi_\varepsilon)^{-1}\Phi^{-1}(V_+^\varepsilon)$, this 1-dimensional manifold is normally hyperbolic and over-flowing under the Poincaré map P_ξ. For each $x \in W_0$, consider the orbit segment of x by the flow φ_X^t from time 0 to the first return time $\tau(x)$ to W_0,

$$\varsigma_x^\tau := \{\varphi_X^t(x) \,:\, t \in [0, \tau(x)\big)\}.$$

Consider $W := \bigcup_{x \in W_0} \varsigma_x^\tau$. Since W_0 is P_ξ-backward invariant, in the sense that $P_\xi^{-1}(W_0) \subseteq W_0$, the set W is a class C^∞ normally hyperbolic 2-dimensional manifold, which proves (a). Statements (b)-(e) of W regarding φ_X^t follow successively from the corresponding properties of V_+, V_+^ε and W_0, respectively w.r.t. f_ξ, $f_{\xi,\varepsilon}$ and P_ξ. Notice that, by Corollary 8.5, V_+ is normally contractive when $\sigma_{\max}(u_0) < 1$ and normally repelling when $\sigma_{\min}(u_0) > 1$.

We now briefly explain how the non compactness of V_+, in the application of [10, Theorem 4.1], can be skirted. The idea is to compactify the mappings f_ξ and $f_{\xi,\varepsilon}$, or, more precisely, their domain $[0,+\infty) \times \Delta^{d-2}$. Note Δ^{d-2} is already compact. Take any diffeomorphism $h : [0,1) \to [0,+\infty)$, e.g., $h(x) = x/(1-x)$, and define $\tilde{f}_\xi : [0,1] \times \Delta^{d-2} \to [-1,1] \times \Delta^{d-2}$ by

$$\tilde{f}_\xi(s,\theta) := \big(h^{-1}(h(s) + \log \overline{M_\xi \theta}),\, \hat{\pi}_\xi(\theta)\big) \ .$$

The compactification $\tilde{f}_{\xi,\varepsilon}$ is defined analogously, so that $\tilde{f}_\xi = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \tilde{f}_{\xi,\varepsilon}$. Then $\tilde{V}_+ = [0,1] \times \Delta^{d-2}$ is a compact normally hyperbolic manifold such that $\tilde{f}_\xi(\tilde{V}_+) \supseteq \tilde{V}_+$, and in fact ∞-normally hyperbolic. To see this take any $s \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \geq 1$ let $\tilde{V}_+^{(n)} := \tilde{f}_\xi^{-n}(\tilde{V}_+)$. Because $p = (1, u_0)$ is a

parabolic fixed point of $f|_{\tilde{V}_+}$, the derivatives of $\tilde{f}^n_\xi|_{\tilde{V}^{(n)}_+} : \tilde{V}^{(n)}_+ \to \tilde{V}_+$ and its inverse tend to 1 as $n \to \infty$. Thus, letting $M_n = \|D\tilde{f}^n_\xi|_{\tilde{V}^{(n)}_+}\|$ and $m_n = m(D\tilde{f}^n_\xi|_{\tilde{V}^{(n)}_+})$, we can choose n large enough so that none of the eigenvalues λ^n_j, of $(\hat{\pi}_\xi)^n$ at u_0, lies inside the interval $[m^s_n, M^s_n]$, which implies that $\tilde{V}_+$ is s-normally hyperbolic. The rest of the argument goes without change. □

Definition 8.9. If item (d) of Theorem 8.8 holds, the manifold W is called the *stable manifold* of C_ξ, and denoted by $W^s_{loc}(\xi)$, and if (e) of the same theorem holds, the manifold W is called the *unstable manifold* of C_ξ, and denoted by $W^u_{loc}(\xi)$.

Let us return to Example 3.4. To represent the projective map $\hat{\pi}_S : \Delta^\chi_S \subset \Delta_S \to \Delta_S$ (see Definition 8.1), we identify $\Delta_{\gamma_5} = [0,1]$, $\Delta_{\gamma_8} = [1,2]$ and $\Delta_S = [0,2]$. With these identifications, the mapping $\varphi = \hat{\pi}_S : [0,2] \to [0,2]$ is given in (8.2), while its graph is shown in Figure 10.

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3626x}{3626-1343x} & \text{if} \quad 0 \le x < \frac{49}{236} \\ \frac{49-8746x}{784-8031x} & \text{if} \quad \frac{49}{236} \le x < \frac{14}{41} \\ \frac{316-19459x}{1928-18002x} & \text{if} \quad \frac{14}{41} \le x < 1 \\ \frac{677x+21488}{43653-21488x} & \text{if} \quad 1 \le x < \frac{5153}{4438} \\ \frac{8374-18904x}{137199-129854x} & \text{if} \quad \frac{5153}{4438} \le x < \frac{274}{209} \\ \frac{629x-222}{4924x-5242} & \text{if} \quad \frac{274}{209} \le x \le 2 \end{cases} \tag{8.2}$$

The points 0 and 1 are fixed points of this projective map. They correspond to the invariant boundary lines of the cones Π_{ξ_1} and Π_{ξ_6}, and they are both repelling fixed points. The map φ has also an attractive periodic orbit $\{p, p'\}$, of period two, corresponding to the eigenvectors of $\pi_{\xi_3} \circ \pi_{\xi_4}$ and $\pi_{\xi_4} \circ \pi_{\xi_3}$ (see Definition 8.3). Note that both intervals I_1 and I_6 are overflowing, i.e., $\varphi(I_1) \supset I_1$ and $\varphi(I_6) \supset I_6$. Hence, since φ is one-to-one, the complementary intervals are forward invariant, i.e., $\varphi(I_2 \cup I_3) \subset I_4 \cup I_5$ and $\varphi(I_4 \cup I_5) \subset I_2 \cup I_3$. It is not difficult to see that p and p' are the only fixed points of φ^2. Hence, we have the following complete description of the projective dynamics: The ω-limit of any point in $]0,1[\cup]1,2]$ is the attractive periodic orbit $\{p, p'\}$. The α-limit of any point in $[0,2] \setminus \{p, p'\}$ is one of the two fixed points 0 or 1.

Regarding the periodic orbit $\{p, p'\}$, we obtain the following cycle concatenating the paths ξ_3 and ξ_4,

$$\xi_{34} := (\gamma_5, \gamma_9, \gamma_3, \gamma_8, \gamma_4, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_5)\,,$$



FIGURE 10. The projective map $\varphi : [0,2] \to [0,2]$ with $I_j = \Delta^{\chi}_{\xi_j}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, 6$.

which determines a heteroclinic cycle for the vector field X_G along the polytope's boundary. The periodic point p corresponds to a fixed point $\hat{\pi}_{\xi_{34}}(u_0) = u_0 \in \mathrm{int}(\Pi_{\xi_{34}})$, i.e., u_0 is an eigenvector of $\pi_{\xi_{34}}$ (see Definition 8.3). The associated eigenvalue is

$$\lambda_1 = 0.946652\ldots .$$

The eigenvalue of the second eigenvector of $\pi_{\xi_{34}}$, outside $\Pi_{\xi_{34}}$, is

$$\lambda_2 = 0.774422\ldots .$$

Hence $\sigma_{\max}(u_0) = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} < 1$, and by Corollary 8.5 u_0 is an attractive periodic point of $\varphi = \hat{\pi}_S$. Thus, by Theorem 8.8 there exists a normally contractive local unstable manifold $W^u_{loc}(\xi_{34})$ for the heteroclinic cycle associated with ξ_{34}.

Since the ω-limit of any point $x \in [0,2] \setminus \{0,1\}$ is the attractive periodic orbit $\{p, p'\}$, and the eigenvalue of the eigenvector u_0 is $\lambda_1 < 1$, it follows that for all $u \in \mathrm{int}(\Pi_S)$,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \pi^n_S(u) = 0 \, .$$

This means that near the polytope's edge skeleton all orbits of the flow $\varphi^t_{X_G}$ are attracted to $W^u_{loc}(\xi_{34})$, and at the same time pulled away from the heteroclinic cycle ξ_{34}.

Our polymatrix replicator X_G has a unique interior equilibrium

$$q = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{71}{158}, \frac{87}{158}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right),$$

and 10 boundary equilibria, eight of them vertexes, and the remaining two on different 2-faces,

$$q_1 = \left(\frac{7}{17}, \frac{10}{17}, \frac{37}{79}, \frac{42}{79}, 1, 0\right) \quad \text{and} \quad q_2 = \left(\frac{23}{34}, \frac{11}{34}, \frac{65}{158}, \frac{93}{158}, 0, 1\right).$$



FIGURE 11. Above: an approximation of the X_G-invariant surface on the polytope from two different perspectives. Below: two different orbits starting near the respective facets' interior equilibria.

The equilibrium $q \in \operatorname{int}\left(\Gamma_{(2,2,2)}\right)$ is hyperbolic. The eigenvalues of the equilibrium q are

$$\zeta_{\pm} = -0.545809\ldots \pm i\, 37.0244\ldots\,, \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_1 = -2.90838\ldots\,.$$

The local manifold $W^u_{\mathrm{loc}}(\xi_{34})$ extends to a global surface of class C^5 that contains the interior equilibrium q. Let us explain this.

At the equilibrium point q we have a DX_q-invariant decomposition $T_p\Gamma = E^{ss} \oplus E^s$, where E^s is the eigen-plane associated to the complex eigenvalues $\zeta_{\pm}$ and E^{ss} is the eigen-direction associated to the eigenvalue ζ_1. Since

$$\zeta_1 < 5\,\mathrm{Re}(\zeta_{\pm}) < 0 \tag{8.3}$$

there exist central stable manifolds of class C^5 tangent to E^s at q (see [22, Theorem III.8]). These central manifolds are not unique because of the contractive behavior on the central direction.

The ω-limit of any interior point $x \in \operatorname{int}\left(\Gamma_{(2,2,2)}\right)$ is the equilibrium q. This follows because the system is dissipative (see [2, Definition 5.1 and Proposition 17]) and has a unique interior equilibrium. Indeed this system has a strict global Lyapunov function $h : \operatorname{int}\left(\Gamma_{(2,2,2)}\right) \to \mathbb{R}$. This function h has an absolute minimum at q and satisfies

$$\frac{dh}{dt}(x) = Dh_x\left(X_G\right) < 0$$

for all $x \in \operatorname{int}\left(\Gamma_{(2,2,2)}\right)$ with $x \neq q$.

Consider the set

$$W^u(\xi_{34}) := \bigcup_{t>0} \varphi_X^t(W^u_{\mathrm{loc}}(\xi_{34}))\ ,$$

that is the global unstable manifold of ξ_{34}. The equilibrium q is an accumulation point of $W^u(\xi_{34})$. In fact, $W = W^u(\xi_{34}) \cup \{q\}$ must be a smooth surface with at most a possible cusp singularity at q. Obviously this is not possible due to (8.3). Actually, W must be of class C^5 at q.

We finish with a rough description of the replicator interior dynamics (see Figure 11), which can easily be proven from the previously established facts. The ω-limit of any point in $\operatorname{int}\left(\Gamma_{(2,2,2)}\right)$ is always the equilibrium point q. The stable and strong stable invariant manifolds associated to the equilibrium q satisfy:

(1) $W^s(q) = W^u(\xi_{34}) \cup \{q\}$,
(2) $W^{ss}(q) = W^u(q_1) \cup \{q\} \cup W^u(q_2)$.

Regarding the α-limit of a point $x \in \operatorname{int}\left(\Gamma_{(2,2,2)}\right)$ we have three possibilities:

(1) If $x \in W^s(q)$ then $\alpha(x)$ is the heteroclinic cycle ξ_{34}.
(2) If x is on the component of $\Gamma_{(2,2,2)} \setminus W^s(q)$ that contains q_1 then $\alpha(x)$ can be any one of the following alternatives:
   (a) the equilibrium point q_1,
   (b) one of the closed orbits around q_1 in the facet σ_6,
   (c) the heteroclinic cycle ξ_1.
(3) If x is on the component of $\Gamma_{(2,2,2)} \setminus W^s(q)$ that contains q_2 then $\alpha(x)$ can be any one of the following alternatives:
   (a) the equilibrium point q_2,
   (b) one of the closed orbits around q_2 in the facet σ_5,
   (c) the heteroclinic cycle ξ_6.

Acknowledgments

The first author was supported by mathematics department of UFMG. The second author was supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, under the project: UID/MAT/04561/2013. The third author was supported by FCT scholarship SFRH/BD/72755/2010.

References

[1] Hassan Najafi Alishah and Pedro Duarte, *Hamiltonian evolutionary games*, J. Dyn. Games **2** (2015), no. 1, 33–49. MR3370936

[2] Hassan Najafi Alishah, Pedro Duarte, and Telmo Peixe, *Conservative and dissipative polymatrix replicators*, J. Dyn. Games **2** (2015), no. 2, 157–185. MR3436357

[3] W Brannath, *Heteroclinic networks on the tetrahedron*, Nonlinearity **7** (1994), no. 5, 1367.

[4] L. A. Bunimovich and B. Z. Webb, *Isospectral compression and other useful isospectral transformations of dynamical networks*, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **22** (2012), no. 3, –.

[5] Tsuyoshi Chawanya, *A new type of irregular motion in a class of game dynamics systems*, Progr. Theoret. Phys. **94** (1995), no. 2, 163–179. MR1354590 (96f:58142)

[6] ———, *Infinitely many attractors in game dynamics system*, Progr. Theoret. Phys. **95** (1996), no. 3, 679–684. MR1388249

[7] Pedro Duarte, *Hamiltonian systems on polyhedra*, Dynamics, games and science. II, 2011, pp. 257–274. MR2883285

[8] Pedro Duarte, Rui L. Fernandes, and Waldyr M. Oliva, *Dynamics of the attractor in the Lotka-Volterra equations*, J. Differential Equations **149** (1998), no. 1, 143–189. MR1643678 (99h:34075)

[9] Michael Field, *Lectures on bifurcations, dynamics and symmetry*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 356, Longman, Harlow, 1996. MR1425388

[10] M. W. Hirsch, C. C. Pugh, and M. Shub, *Invariant manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 583, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977. MR0501173 (58 #18595)

[11] Josef Hofbauer, *On the occurrence of limit cycles in the Volterra-Lotka equation*, Nonlinear Anal. **5** (1981), no. 9, 1003–1007. MR633014 (83c:92063)

[12] ———, *Heteroclinic cycles on the simplex*, Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Nonlinear Oscillations (Budapest, 1987), 1987, pp. 828–831. MR933673 (89e:58099)

[13] ———, *Heteroclinic cycles in ecological differential equations*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **4** (1994), 105–116. Equadiff 8 (Bratislava, 1993). MR1298459 (95i:34083)

[14] Vivien Kirk and Mary Silber, *A competition between heteroclinic cycles*, Nonlinearity **7** (1994), no. 6, 1605–1621. MR1304441 (95j:58121)

[15] Alfred J. Lotka, *Elements of mathematical biology. (formerly published under the title Elements of Physical Biology)*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1958. MR0094263 (20 #782)

[16] J. Maynard Smith and G. R. Price, *The logic of animal conflict*, Nature **246** (1973), no. 5427, 15–18.

[17] Günther Palm, *Evolutionary stable strategies and game dynamics for n-person games*, J. Math. Biol. **19** (1984), no. 3, 329–334. MR754948 (85m:92010)

[18] Manfred Plank, *Some qualitative differences between the replicator dynamics of two player and n player games*, Proceedings of the Second World Congress of Nonlinear Analysts, Part 3 (Athens, 1996), 1997, pp. 1411–1417. MR1490064

[19] Klaus Ritzberger and Jörgen W. Weibull, *Evolutionary selection in normal-form games*, Econometrica **63** (1995), no. 6, 1371–1399. MR1361238 (96h:90147)

[20] Peter Schuster and Karl Sigmund, *Coyness, philandering and stable strategies*, Animal Behaviour **29** (1981), no. 1, 186 –192.

[21] Peter Schuster, Karl Sigmund, Josef Hofbauer, and Robert Wolff, *Self-regulation of behaviour in animal societies. II. Games between two populations without self-interaction*, Biol. Cybernet. **40** (1981), no. 1, 9–15. MR609926 (82e:92039b)

[22] Michael Shub, *Global stability of dynamical systems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987. With the collaboration of Albert Fathi and Rémi Langevin, Translated from the French by Joseph Christy. MR869255 (87m:58086)

[23] Peter D. Taylor and Leo B. Jonker, *Evolutionarily stable strategies and game dynamics*, Math. Biosci. **40** (1978), no. 1-2, 145–156. MR0489983 (58 #9351)

[24] Vito Volterra, *Leçons sur la théorie mathématique de la lutte pour la vie*, Les Grands Classiques Gauthier-Villars. [Gauthier-Villars Great Classics], Éditions Jacques Gabay, Sceaux, 1990. Reprint of the 1931 original. MR1189803 (93k:92011)

[25] Günter M. Ziegler, *Lectures on polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 152, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. MR1311028

Departamento de Matemática, Instituto de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, 31270-901, Brazil
E-mail address: halishah@mat.ufmg.br

Departamento de Matemática and CMAF, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, Edificio C6, Piso 2, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal
E-mail address: pmduarte@fc.ul.pt

Departamento de Matemática and CMAF, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, Edificio C6, Piso 2, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal
E-mail address: tjpeixe@fc.ul.pt